ReviewForm3

JournalName:	<u>AsianJournalofAdvancedResearchandReports</u>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJARR_129958
TitleoftheManuscript:	DiameterDistributionModelsandCarbonSequestrationPotentialofAfiForestReserve,CrossRiverState,Nigeria
TypeoftheArticle	

PART1:Comments

	Reviewer'scomment	Author'sFeedback (<i>Pleasecorrectthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpart inthemanuscript.ltismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback</i>
Pleasewriteafewsentencesregardingtheimportan ce of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research provides valuable tools for forest managers by developing diameter distribution models that enable informed decisions about silvicultural treatments, harvesting schedules, and sustainable forest resourceutilization. By estimating the carbon potential of the Afi River Forest, the study highlights its role in mitigating climate changethrough carbon sequestration, contributing to global efforts toreduceatmospheric carbon dioxide. Also, assessment of tree species diversity and distribution supports the protection of forest ecosystems, helping to maintain biodiversity and the ecological balance in the region	here)
Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	Yes,thearticletitleissuitableandmatchwiththecontentofthemanuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggesttheaddition(ordeletion)ofsomepointsinth is section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is clear and provides essential information about the study, the length is also reasonable with253 words. However, it could be refined for better readability and flow. The following are the areas to be improved 1. Flow and Conciseness: The abstract could be more concise by eliminating some repetitive details, such as the description of sample plots 2. SignificanceofResults:Theimplicationoftheresults,suchashowthemodelsandcarbonpotential estimates contribute to forest management, could be emphasized more. 3. ClarityonModelSignificance:Thestatement"Noneoftheselectedmodelwassignificant"couldbe clarified further to explain what this means for the study's validity or application.	
Isthemanuscriptscientifically,correct?Pleasewrit e here.	Themanuscriptappearstobescientificallycorrectbasedontheabstractprovided.Here'sadetailedassessment, however there are some improvement have to be done. These improvements are: - 1. Themanuscriptshouldprovideadditionalcontextonwhynoneofthemodelswerestatistically significant and how this affects the reliability of the results. 2. Includemoredetailsonthevalidationprocessforthemodelsandthemetricsusedtoevaluatetheir performance. 3. Clarifywhetherthefindingsare specifictotheAfiRiverForestoriftheycanbegeneralizedtoother regions with similar conditions. Also,followtheidenticalnumericalsystemforexampledecidetousefixednumberofdecimalplacessee Table1	
Arethereferencessufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestionsofadditionalreferences,pleasementio n them in the review form.	Yes,thereferencesaresufficientandrecent.	
Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications?	Yes,thelanguageofthearticleissuitablefor scholarlycommunications,howevertheauthorcancheckandclear punctuation errors so as to attract the readers of this research.	
Optional/General comments	Noanyadditionalcomment.	

Createdby:DR Checkedby:PM Approvedby:MBM Version:3(07-07-2024)

ReviewForm3

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Buruhan Haji Shame
Department, University & Country	Sebelas Maret University Surakarta, Indonesia

Createdby:DR Checkedby:PM Approvedby:MBM Version:3(07-07-2024)