

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_129888
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effects of Monetary Rewards and Grade Points on Student Motivation: An Experimental Analysis
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it provides empirical evidence comparing the impact of monetary and grade-point rewards on student motivation, a topic that directly informs educational strategies and policy development. By employing a rigorous experimental design and exploring intrinsic versus extrinsic motivation, the study adds value to ongoing debates in educational psychology. Its findings could guide educators and administrators in creating effective reward systems to optimize student engagement and learning outcomes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	"Comparing Monetary and Grade-Point Rewards: Impacts on Student Motivation in Collaborative Tasks."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is informative but overly focused on the results, neglecting the broader context of the study. It should include the significance of the study, a brief mention of the methodology, and key limitations. For example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Add a sentence explaining the gap in the literature that this study addresses. • Include a brief mention of the sample size and the experimental design. • Highlight limitations, such as the specific cultural and demographic context, to provide balance. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, However, the reliance on self-made questionnaires and limited participant diversity slightly weakens the robustness of the conclusions	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and include recent sources, though additional citations could strengthen the theoretical foundation. Suggested additions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). <i>Self-Determination Theory and the Facilitation of Intrinsic Motivation, Social Development, and Well-Being</i>. • Wigfield, A., & Eccles, J. S. (2000). <i>Expectancy-Value Theory of Achievement Motivation</i>. These could provide additional theoretical depth to the discussion on intrinsic and extrinsic motivation. 	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript addresses a relevant topic with well-conducted research, but improvements in clarity, generalizability, and depth of discussion are recommended. Incorporating a more diverse sample, using standardized instruments, and expanding the analysis of cultural and contextual factors would further enhance its impact.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Okhaku, David O.
Department, University & Country	Lead City University, Nigeria