Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_129857
Title of the Manuscript:	Crowdfunding Adoption of Startup Entrepreneurs in Developing Context: An Application of the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology Model
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/ Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?			
Optional/General comments	Abstract	Suitable, well-articulated	
	Introduction	Citations required for statements, highlighted in the document.	
	Literature	Appropriate, however, cite the source for highlighted texts and claims.	
	Method text. Use the p	Appropriate, however, citations required for the statements/claim statements. Highlighted in the purposive sample was selected	
	Data	Appropriate	
	Findings	Ok	
	References	References are updated, relevant and adequate for the study.	
	Discussion	Appropriate.	
	Conclusion	Supported by data in manuscript and appropriate.	

PART 2:

PART 2:		
	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ikhtiar Ahmed Khoso
Department, University & Country	Sukkur IBA University, Pakistan

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)