

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_129790
Title of the Manuscript:	Banana Production in Indapur Tahsil Pune District: An Analysis of Farmer Awareness from a Technological and Geographic Perspective.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important to the scientific community. The Authors need to provide a concrete problem statement, study objectives, and research questions. They must provide detailed information on why the study was conducted, the rationale behind it, and the research gap by exploiting what other researchers found out in the past and using it to build their argument on it.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable for publication	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract lacks a research gap and a concrete recommendation. The authors need to improve the quality of the abstract by adding more information.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientific but lacks more clarity, much information is missing in the introduction, methodology (no research design was provided, sample size determination and data source), results, discussion, conclusion, and recommendation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used for the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscripts require major revisions before accepting for publication in your journal. The authors did poorly and failed to discuss the statistical analysis used for data analysis. Five-point Likert Scale such as Strongly Disagree (1), Disagree (2), Neutral (3), Agree (4), and Strongly Agree (5) is usually to measure the perception index of qualitative data.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Atsu Agbolosoo
Department, University & Country	Institut Pertanian Bogor (IPB) University, Indonesia