# **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_127773
Title of the Manuscript:	Circular Economy towards Sustainable Development: A Review of U.S., E.U., and China's Polices
Type of the Article	

# PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work is interesting from the perspective of international comparisons regarding circularity. This is how the case of the United States, the European Union and China regarding the circular economy are treated. The work presents strong points such as literature review and legislation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the abstract, the results should also be emphasized more, what the author proposed and what result he reached. Why the study should be read, what it brings valuable.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes it is. The work brings a lot of relatively recent studies, it has a great potential regarding the stock of profile literature. The part of the conflict, where circularity is prevented, is missing in the scheme, what must be done in the field of policies for the circular economy to enter the normal path of sustainable development.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Created by: DR Checked by: PMApproved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

# **Review Form 3**

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The work is very good as a stock of knowledge. The subject is interesting just by comparison, the EU has the most ambitious goal of promoting circularity but hinders industry, the United States emphasizes competitiveness and industrial power and circularity also comes in the package but extremely marginally, and China also emphasizes economic growth and industry against circularity. All strategies are wrong for sustainable development because they exclude one by one an important element of sustainable development, either the environment, or people, or economic performance. The study should be enriched with some indicators to be followed in the three cases EU, EU and China, at least on relatively equivalent indicators and the appropriate policy solutions should be proposed for each case. It is not specified what Artificial Intelligence and new technological innovations can do to improve circularity, the niche approach between circularity policy and other fields would be interesting to be presented. For added originality, it would be necessary for the author to specify what exactly author(s) thinks should be done in terms of policies in the three cases studied. Also, the conclusions are extremely small as a synthesis of the study, thus it should include more of the strong points of the study.	

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Alina Georgeta Ailincă
Department, University & Country	Centre for Financial and Monetary Research "Victor Slăvescu" of The Romanian Academy, Romania

Created by: DR Checked by: PMApproved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)