

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_129025
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Proximate Composition, Mineral, and Water Soluble Vitamin Contents of Instant Noodles made from Blends of Wheat, Local Rice, and Water Yam Composite Flours.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses the underutilization of local agricultural resources, such as rice and water yam, in food production. By exploring their integration into wheat-based instant noodles, it provides valuable insights into enhancing nutritional quality through innovative food formulations. The findings contribute to the growing body of knowledge on the use of composite flours in addressing nutritional deficiencies, promoting food security, and encouraging the industrial utilization of indigenous crops. Furthermore, this study underscores the potential to develop healthier, sustainable alternatives in the instant noodle industry, benefiting both consumers and local economies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, but there are some alternative: Nutritional Evaluation of Instant Noodles from Wheat, Local Rice, and Water Yam Flours Proximate, Mineral, and Vitamin Composition of Composite Flour Instant Noodles	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article provides a good overview of the study, including the aims, methodology, and results. However, it can be improved for greater clarity, conciseness, and impact	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct but would benefit from additional clarity in methodology, deeper discussion of results, and consistent reporting standards. These adjustments would strengthen its scientific validity and impact.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references in the manuscript are generally sufficient and relevant to the topic. However, there are some areas where the inclusion of more recent or specific references could strengthen the manuscript	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Perform a detailed language edit to ensure grammatical accuracy, conciseness, and scholarly tone. Consider using professional proofreading tools or services for consistency. Incorporate transitions and reduce wordiness to improve flow and readability	
Optional/General comments	Reorganize Content: Ensure logical flow from the introduction to conclusions. Proofreading: Eliminate typographical errors and improve sentence structures for readability. Broaden Impact Discussion: Highlight the potential socioeconomic or industrial impacts of substituting wheat with local rice and water yam.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tri Siswati
Department, University & Country	Poltekkes Kemnekes Yogyakarta, Indonesia