Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_129967
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RETENTION IN ANTIRETROVIRAL THEARPY AND ITS ASSOCIATED FACTORS AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN IN GAMBORU MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH CLINIC (MCH), MAIDUGURI, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://rl.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/
Benefits for Reviewers: https://rl.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback
		here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	although the research topic is/would be an interesting one, the focus is too narrow and the result has the potential to mislead the general population. secondly a lot has changed in HIV management and the interventions that are in place. THEREFORE 7 years down the line, issues are not the same. how I wish the study employed latest data between 2020 to 2024!!!!! but 2015 is way too back. I am saying this because conclusions drawn from this study may not be relevant in 2025.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is lengthy. Again (as also indicated in track changes on the manuscript) it can be easily revised	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The lay out of the abstract has some problems as it lacks concise statements of actions in methodology, conclusion and recommendation.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This is so narrow in scope as the issues that lead to poor retention into care are so immense and require considerable depth to fully explore them.	
	Again, I am saying this because conclusions drawn from this study may not be relevant in 2025 and may raise a lot of questions from the field of HIV AND AIDS programming	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	This section is either incomplete or poorly done.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript needs to be written in the past tense not present or future tense as has been the case in this manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	The author should seriously consider the following:	
	REVISE THE TITLE ALTOGETHER, AS HAS BEEN SUGGESTED IN MANUSCRIPT	
	THE PROBLEM STATEMENT AND HYPOTHESIS SHOULD COME OUT CLEAR	
	THE AUTHOR SHOULD ACCEPT OR REJECT THE HYPOTHESIS IN THEIR CONCLUSION. AND THE STUDY RECOMMENDATIONS SHOULD BE BASED ON THE EXACT FINDINGS NOT BEING DISCUSSED IN GENERAL TERMS	
	THE STATISTICAL ANALYSIS SECTION IS VERY WEAK. IT HAS WEAKENED THE WHOLE MANUSCRIPT. IT IS LIKE A TEXT BOOK STORY AND NOT REFLECTING WHAT THE AUTHOR DID	
	PROVIDE A DIAGRAM SHOWING ELIGIBILITY AND SAMPLING PROCEDURES UNDER METHODOLOGY.	
	SELECT AT LEAST AN APPROPRIATE MODEL OF HEALTH BEHAVIOUR CHANGE AND FRAME THE DISCUSSION AROUND THE SELECTED MODEL.	
	THE AUTHOR SHOULD AVOID STORY TELLING OR PROVIDING TEXTS FROM BOOKS WHEN DESCRIBING HIS/HER METHODOLOGY OR DATA ANALYSIS	
	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elton Chavura
Department, University & Country	Mzuzu University, Malawi

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)