

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_129794
Title of the Manuscript:	POSTURE AND ITS ASSOCIATED RISK FACTORS AMONG HOUSE OFFICERS
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	House officers, typically in the early stages of their career, are at risk of developing musculoskeletal disorders due to prolonged standing, sitting, and physically demanding tasks. By exploring the factors that contribute to poor posture and related risk factors, this study could help to develop preventive strategies that promote better ergonomic practices, and enhance the long-term health of healthcare professionals.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Author can add type of research in the title. Alternative title: Prevalence of Postural Issues and Associated Risk Factors Among House Officers: A Cross-Sectional Study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is fairly comprehensive and covers the essential aspects of the study but abstract can be more structured if it's written under different headings such as objective, methodology, results, and conclusion to give a clear idea about the study. Study design can be mentioned in the abstract. Key words can be written in alphabetical order.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. But in the Data collection and Statistical analysis's part the author has written sentences in future tense it should be in past tense as the research is already been completed. For example, Informed consent will be obtained from all study participants. Then all personal and occupational information of individual including age, gender, department, contact number, weight, and height will be obtained in demographic data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Few references are very old. Author can add recent references more. References are not quoted in sequence.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English grammar needs to be corrected for the whole manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Plagiarism is not suspected. There are no competing interest issues in this manuscript. There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Krupa Mehta
Department, University & Country	Saurashtra University, India