

## Analysis of TE Variations on SNR and CNR Values in Lumbar MRI T2WI Sequences at Bali Mandara Regional General Hospital

### ABSTRACT

**Aim:** This study aims to determine the effect of echo time (TE) variation on MRI image quality, which is measured using Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) and Contrast to Noise Ratio (CNR). The focus of the study was on lumbar MRI examination at RSUD Bali Mandara using Philips 1.5 Tesla MRI equipment.

**Study Design:** This research used an experimental study design with a quantitative approach. Place and Duration of Research, namely, carried out at Bali Mandara Hospital in the MRI unit from January to October 2024.

**Methodology:** The study involved 30 patients who underwent lumbar MRI examination. Four TE variations (80 ms, 90 ms, 100 ms, and 110 ms) were used to measure SNR and CNR in corpus, discus, and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) tissues using the ROI (Region of Interest) method. Data were analyzed using statistical software to compare the effect of TE variation on image quality.

**Results:** The results show that increasing the TE value causes a decrease in SNR and CNR values. TE of 80 ms provides the highest SNR and CNR values compared to other TE variations. This shows that the use of shorter TE results in better image quality.

**Conclusion:** Good MRI image quality is determined by high SNR and CNR values. The results of this study showed that TE 80 ms provided the best results for SNR and CNR, thus recommending the use of shorter TE in lumbar MRI imaging protocols to improve the accuracy of clinical

*Keywords: MRI, lumbar, SNR, CNR, TE*

### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background of Study

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) technology has shown great significance in the development of diagnosis and management of various health conditions, particularly in the musculoskeletal and spinal systems. MRI is an advanced diagnostic tool that allows imaging of human body parts without invasive intervention, providing detailed visualization of anatomical structures as well as existing pathologies (Nizar and Katili, 2019).

One of the key aspects in the use of MRI is the setting of certain parameters, such as time echo (TE), which affects the quality of the resulting image. These parameters affect the signal to noise ratio (SNR) and contrast to noise ratio (CNR) values, which are important indicators for evaluating MRI images. SNR measures the

signal to noise ratio, while CNR measures the difference between pathological areas and healthy tissue (Weishaupt et al., 2006).

Research by (Brinjikji et al., 2015) mentioned that MRI imaging of the lumbar organs has a success rate of 76%-91.2%, demonstrating its effectiveness in visualizing spinal structures, intervertebral discs, and surrounding soft tissues. Research by (Nizar and Katili, 2019) and (Prastowo et al., 2013) confirmed that variations in MRI parameters, such as TR and TE, have a significant impact on image quality. Optimization of these parameters can improve diagnostic accuracy, especially in cases of disc herniation and spinal stenosis. In line with previous findings, this study focuses on analyzing the effect of TE parameter variations on SNR and CNR values in lumbar MRI imaging. By identifying and optimizing these parameters, it is expected that the resulting MRI images will have better quality, support more accurate diagnosis,

and support more effective treatment in patients with spinal problems. This study aims to understand the effect of TE parameters on MRI image quality through analysis of SNR and CNR values, and to contribute to the development of more advanced medical imaging technology, particularly in the fields of orthopedics, neurology, and radiology.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Methods

#### 2.1.1 Study area

The study was conducted at the Radiology Installation of Bali Mandara Regional General Hospital (RSUD), located at Jl. Bypass Ngurah Rai No.548, Sanur Kauh, South Denpasar, Denpasar City, Bali.

#### 2.1.2 Study site

The tools and materials used in this study include MRI aircraft with Phillips brand specifications, superconducting magnet type, with a power of 1.5 T, and computer devices used for patient data processing, parameter settings, and image analysis.

#### 2.1.3 Study design

This study uses an experimental research design to evaluate the effect of TE variation on SNR and CNR values in lumbar MRI examinations.

#### 2.1.4 Study population

Patients undergoing lumbar MRI examination at Bali Mandara Hospital.

#### 2.1.5 Sample size determination

The independent variable of this research is the TE parameter variation (80 ms, 90 ms, 100 ms, and 110 ms). The dependent variables are SNR and CNR values. And Control Variables Parameters that are made constant, such as slice thickness of 5 mm, bandwidth 218 Hz, TR 2965.27 ms, matrix 400 × 400, FOV 240 × 240, flip angle 90°, NEX 2, and sagittal slice orientation.

#### 2.1.6 Sampling techniques

Data collection was conducted using the following steps:

- Preparation Stage: Patients were given an explanation of the MRI procedure and asked to remove metal/magnetic objects before entering the MRI room.

- Scanning Stage: Filling in patient data on the computer system, followed by setting parameters according to the protocol and scanning the lumbar using the TE variation under study.
- Data Retrieval: 120 images from 30 patients were analyzed, involving ROI (region of interest) segmentation of the corpus, discus, CSF, and background as noise.

#### 2.1.7 Study instruments

The research procedure consisted of the following stages:

- Preparatory Stage: The patient is given an explanation of the MRI examination procedure. The patient is asked to remove all metal/magnetic objects and, if necessary, change into special clothing. The radiographer prepared the head coil to capture the RF signal and headphones to reduce noise. The patient is positioned supine on the gantry of the MRI scanner.
- Image Scanning Stage: Patient data is entered into the computer system. Parameters such as TR, slice thickness, bandwidth, matrix, FOV, flip angle, NEX, and slice orientation were adjusted as per the protocol. Scanning was performed with variations in TE parameters (80 ms, 90 ms, 100 ms, 110 ms).
- Data Capture Phase: Data was taken from 30 patients resulting in 120 images analyzed. ROI segmentation was performed on corpus, discus, CSF, and background to calculate SNR and CNR values.

#### 2.1.8 Data collection method

SNR and CNR measurements:

SNR is calculated by dividing the average signal in the corpus, discus, or CSF by the standard deviation of the noise. CNR is calculated by comparing the difference between the average tissue signal and the average noise, divided by the standard deviation of the noise. Statistical Test: Data were analyzed using SPSS with ANOVA test at 0.05 significance level to evaluate the effect of TE variation on SNR and CNR. (Usmadi, 2020)

#### 2.1.9 Data management

- $H_0$ : TE variation does not affect SNR and CNR values on MRI.
- $H_1$ : TE variation affects SNR and CNR values on MRI.

The results of the F ANOVA test are compared with the critical value of  $F_{table}$  to determine

whether TE variation has a significant effect on SNR and CNR values. If  $F_{count} > F_{table}$  then  $H_0$  is rejected, indicating a significant effect. (Tannady & Munardi, 2015)

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study involved 30 patients, consisting of 17 men and 13 women, with an age range of 25 to 75 years. The analysis was performed with Region of Interest (ROI) segmentation on Corpus, Discus, CSF, and background tissues to measure SNR and CNR values.

#### 3.1 Effect of TE Variation on SNR

Based on the research conducted, the average SNR value for each TE was calculated and can be seen in Table 1. This table displays a graph between TE variation and average SNR.

Table 1. average SNR value

TE variation (ms)	Average result SNR		
	Corpus	Discus	CSF
80	147,894	71,164	284,988
90	122,818	58,504	251,838
100	105,830	49,694	229,635
110	87,771	39,248	197,095

In Table 1, it can be observed that the SNR value generated in each network decreases as the TE value varies and from the average results, the following graph is obtained.




Figure 1 graph of the effect of TE variation on SNR

Figure 1 shows that the SNR value decreases as the TE value increases, this occurs in every network evaluated, this is due to the decrease in TE while the applied TR remains constant, thus not changing the time. The highest SNR value is observed at the lowest TE variation. The analysis shows that the highest SNR value occurs at 80 ms

TE.

To analyze the effect of TE variation on SNR value, anova F test was conducted, before the test was conducted it was ensured that the data met the assumptions of normality and homogeneity ( $\text{sig} > 0.05$ ). The anova test showed that TE variation significantly affected SNR in corpus, discus, and CSF tissues ( $F < 0.05$ ). Based on the analysis results, the  $F_{count}$  value was greater than  $F_{table}$  at the 0.05 significance level, indicating rejection of  $H_0$  and acceptance of  $H_a$ . (Junaidi, 2010). This indicates that increasing TE contributes to an increase in SNR values in all analyzed tissues. These results support the hypothesis that TE variation has a significant effect on SNR, with a pattern of signal enhancement in corpus, discus, and CSF tissues as TE values increase.

#### 3.2 Effect of TE Variation on CNR

The average CNR value for each TE was also calculated, which can be seen in Table 2 and displays the graphical relationship between TE variation and average CNR.

Table 2. average CNR value

TE variation (ms)	Average result CNR		
	Corpus	Discus	CSF
80	147,370	70,641	284,464
90	122,332	58,018	251,352
100	105,383	49,247	229,188
110	89,973	40,442	202,581

It can be seen in Table 2 that the CNR value produced in each network decreases along with the increase in TE value variation (Muzamil et al., 2018). And from these average results, the following graph is obtained.




Figure 2 graph of the effect of TE variation on CNR

Figure 2 shows that the CNR value also decreases as the TE value increases, providing a shorter TE will increase the number of signals received and increase the CNR value, which ultimately affects

the CNR (Hidayah et al., 2015). The highest CNR values were observed at lower TE variations. The analysis shows that the highest CNR value occurs at 80 ms TE. The measurement results show that the SNR and CNR values decrease as the echo time (TE) increases. Before the anova test, normality and homogeneity tests were conducted, which showed that the data met the statistical assumptions ( $\text{sig} > 0.05$ ).

Anova test results showed that TE variation significantly affected CNR in corpus, discus, and CSF tissues ( $F < 0.05$ ). An Fcount value that is greater than Ftable at a significance level of 0.05 indicates rejection of  $H_0$  and acceptance of  $H_a$ . This indicates that increasing TE significantly contributed to the increase in CNR values in the analyzed tissues. The results of this analysis are consistent with the hypothesis that TE variation significantly affects CNR, with a pattern of improvement similar to the effect of TE on SNR.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

MRI. This study shows that variations in echo time (TE) have a significant effect on the signal to noise ratio (SNR) and contrast to noise ratio (CNR) values in corpus, discus, and CSF tissues. The analysis shows that increasing TE tends to decrease SNR and CNR values, while shorter TE increases the received signal, contrast differences between tissues, and reduces noise, resulting in better image quality. The highest SNR and CNR values were found at a TE of 80 ms, indicating that this TE is good for producing high quality images, supporting diagnostic accuracy, and detection of small structures and lesions in MRI imaging.

#### 5. RECOMMENDATIONS

For future input so that there is further development related to this research, it is expected that it is better to conduct research on other organs and of course with different parameter variations to get results that will be even more optimal. When taking ROI, it is expected to be more thorough in order to get the best data.

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declares that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

#### CONSENT

All authors declare that a 'written informed consent was obtained from all the patient;

#### REFERENCES

1. Brinjikji, W., Diehn, F. E., Jarvik, J. G., Carr, C. M., Kallmes, D. F., Murad, M. H., & Luetmer, P. H. (2015). MRI findings of disc degeneration are more prevalent in adults with low back pain than in asymptomatic controls: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*, 36(12), 2394–2399. <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A4498>
2. Hidayah, S., Sutanto, H., Rudi, D., & Thohir, S. (2015). THE EFFECT OF CHANGES IN TR ON CNR VALUE AND CONTRAST EFFICIENCY IN MRI HEAD SEQUENCE T1 WEIGHTED IMAGES. In *Youngster Physics Journal* (Vol. 4, Issue 1).
3. Junaidi. (2010). Percentage Point of F Distribution Probability = 0.05. <http://junaidichaniago.wordpress.com>
4. Muzamil, A., Indri, N. V., Astuti, S. D., & Prijo, T. A. (2018). Optimization of Axial Sequence T2 Gradient Imagery Echo With Bandwidth Variation And Time Echo On MRI Shoulder To Reduce Susceptibility Artifacts And Chemical Shift.
5. Nizar, S., & Irwan Katili, M. (2019). The Effect of Time Repetition (TR) Variation on Image Quality and Image Information in Lumbar MRI Examination Sagittal T2 FSE sequence. *Journal of Diagnostic Imaging (JImeD)*, 5. <http://ejournal.poltekkes-smg.ac.id/ojs/index.php/jimed/index>
6. Prastowo, A. T. A., Setiabudi, W., & Anam, C. (2013). CORRELATION OF TIME REPETITION (TR) AND TIME ECHO (TE) VALUES TO SIGNAL TO NOISE RATIO (SNR) IN MRI IMAGES. 16(4), 103–110.
7. Tannady, H., & Munardi, W. E. (2015). HYPOTHESIS TESTING ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) (CASE STUDY: ANCOL TIMUR TOLL GATE, NORTH JAKARTA). *Journal of Industrial Engineering & Management Systems*, 8(1).
8. Usmadi. (2020). Influence of Analysis Requirements (Homogeneity Test and Normality Test).
9. Weishaupt, D., Kochli, V. D., & Marincek, B. (2006). How Does MRI

Work? An Introduction to the Physics  
and Function of Magnetic Resonance  
Imaging. In How Does MRI Work?  
Springer Berlin Heidelberg.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-37845-7>

UNDER PEER REVIEW