

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10

# EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF VARIOUS AMELIORANT SOURCES IN INCREASING NP ABSORPTION AND SWEET CORN PRODUCTIVITY ON SANDY SOIL

---

## ABSTRACT

### **Aims:**

This study aimed to determine the effectiveness of various ameliorant sources in enhancing NP uptake and the productivity of sweet corn (*Zea mays* L. *saccharata*) in sandy soil.

### **Study Design:**

The study used a randomized block design with five treatments and four replications.

### **Place and Duration of Study:**

The field experiment was conducted in a sandy soil area in MoncokKarya, PejerukKarya Village, Ampenan District, Mataram City. The analysis part was carried out in Microbiology laboratory, and in the Soil Physics and Chemistry Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Mataram. All series of trials were completed in six months.

### **Methodology:**

The experimental tested five treatments, namely; Control, no ameliorant (A0), Rice Husk Charcoal (AA), Cow Manure (AS), Compost (AK), and Fertile Organic Fertilizer (AP). Each treatment was replicated 4 times. Observations were made on biomass weight, crop yield, nutrient concentrations (N and P), nutrient uptake, and mycorrhizal activity.

### **Results:**

Ameliorant treatment with cow manure significantly improved plant growth and productivity by enhancing nutrient availability in the soil. This included increases in biomass, and yield. Cow manure also promoted mycorrhizal activity, improved soil structure and increased nutrient absorption efficiency.

### **Conclusion:**

The research result showed that the cow manure as an ameliorant markedly enhanced NP uptake and productivity of sweet corn in sandy soil. It improved soil fertility, supported mycorrhizal colonization, and strengthened plant resistance to environmental stresses.

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

*Keywords: Ameliorant, sandy soil, sweet corn production*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Sweet corn (*Zea mays* var. *saccharata*) is a type of vegetables widely consumed, with demand increasing each year in line with population growth in Indonesia and many other regions, including Latin America, Europe, and Asia (Syukur, 2013). Its sweeter taste compared to other types of corn is attributed to the sugar content in its endosperm. Additionally, sweet corn provides sufficient nutritional value to meet dietary needs (Inverted et al., 2015).

In 100 g of sweet corn contains 85 calories, 3.2 g of protein, 1.2 g of fat, 19 g of carbohydrates, 2 mg of calcium, 270 mg of potassium, 0.5 mg of iron, 400 IU of vitamin A, 0.15 mg of vitamin B, 6.8 mg of vitamin C, and 72.7 g of water (USDA, 2019). Beyond its seeds, other parts of the plant have various uses: young stems and leaves can serve as

25 animal feed, older stems and leaves can be used as green manure or compost materials,  
26 and dry stems and leaves can act as an alternative fuel source to replace firewood. Baby  
27 corn can also be cooked and consumed as a vegetable (Sofia et al., 2014).

28 Sweet corn is frequently incorporated into various dishes, such as sour vegetable soup, corn  
29 fritters, corn syrup, corn ice cream, corn cakes, and numerous other foods. Ready-to-eat  
30 processed sweet corn products are widely available in most cities, sold through small  
31 businesses and franchises (Syukur and Aziz, 2013).

32 The increasing demand for sweet corn, driven by population growth and changing  
33 consumption patterns, has encouraged farmers in Indonesia to boost production each  
34 season due to its profitable prospects. There has been an average annual increase of  
35 28.81% in sweet corn consumption in Indonesia (Ministry of Agriculture, 2021). However,  
36 sweet corn production in Indonesia fluctuates significantly from year to year. In 2019,  
37 production reached 22.5 million tons, dropped to 14.37 million tons in 2020, increased to  
38 15.79 million tons in 2021, and rose again to 20.1 million tons in 2022 (Central Statistics  
39 Agency, 2022). These fluctuations indicate that sweet corn production remains unstable,  
40 leading to an inability to consistently meet the growing market demand.

41 Cultivating sweet corn on sandy soil has several challenges, including low fertility and limited  
42 nutrient availability. The soil's texture makes it difficult to retain water and nutrients. This is  
43 because 70% of sandy soil particles are large, resulting in poor soil structure, low organic  
44 matter content, and limited water retention in the soil system (Harjowigeno, 1995). However,  
45 with proper management, sandy soil can be improved to increase fertility and agricultural  
46 productivity. One effective strategy to increase plant productivity on sandy soil is to  
47 manage the availability of nutrients by using soil amendments or adding organic matter and  
48 other beneficial ingredients (Dariah et al., 2015).

49 The addition of ameliorant materials as soil amendments plays a crucial role in improving the  
50 physical, chemical, and biological properties of soil. Ameliorants, or soil conditioners, are  
51 materials added to soil to enhance root environmental conditions and support plant growth  
52 (Purba, 2015). Several studies have demonstrated that ameliorants can increase soil pH,  
53 improve nutrient availability, enhance water retention, and boost soil permeability (Hendra et  
54 al., 2015). Common materials used as ameliorants are compost, cow manure, and rice husk  
55 charcoal (Nuryah et al., 2023).

56 Cow manure is an organic fertilizer that improves soil structure and water retention, provides  
57 additional nutrients, enhances cation exchange capacity, and supports the growth of soil  
58 microorganisms. It contains high levels of organic carbon, a complete range of nutrients, is  
59 readily available, and is cost-effective (Wawo, 2018). Similarly, the application of organic  
60 materials like compost helps restore degraded soil by binding nutrients that might otherwise  
61 be lost, increasing nutrient availability, enhancing fertilization efficiency, and improving soil  
62 physical properties such as aggregate stability, specific gravity, porosity, plasticity,  
63 permeability, and water-holding capacity. The nutrients in compost are utilized by soil  
64 microbes, which convert complex organic compounds that are unavailable to plants into  
65 simpler organic and inorganic compounds that can be absorbed by plants (Situmeang,  
66 2017).

67 Compost is formed from organic materials such as leaves, grass, straw, and animal waste  
68 that decompose due to microbial activity (Muhsanati et al., 2008). The quality of compost  
69 depends on its carbon-to-nitrogen (C/N) ratio, which should ideally range between 12 and 15  
70 for optimal effectiveness (Novizan, 2007). Applying compost at a rate of 7.5–15 tons per  
71 hectare can significantly improve plant growth, fresh cob weight, and fresh stover weight in  
72 sweet corn plants (Situmeang et al., 2016).

73 Rice husk charcoal contains nutrients such as 0.3% nitrogen (N), 15% phosphorus  
74 pentoxide (P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>), 31% potassium oxide (K<sub>2</sub>O), and other essential elements, with a pH of  
75 6.8. Husk charcoal has a high water-holding capacity, a crumbly texture, good air circulation,  
76 a high cation exchange capacity (CEC), and is effective at absorbing sunlight (Fahmi, 2013).  
77 Its additional properties include water-binding ability, resistance to clumping, affordability,  
78 good porosity, light weight, sterility, and ease of availability (Prihmantor, 2003).

79 The application of mycorrhizal biofertilizer is also an effective alternative to enhancing  
80 agricultural productivity. Mycorrhiza plays a key role in improving nutrient supply and  
81 absorption, thereby reducing the reliance on inorganic fertilizers. Additionally, it enhances  
82 plant resistance to drought by assisting in the absorption of water that is otherwise  
83 inaccessible to the roots (Rokhminarsi et al., 2011). Consequently, the addition of nutrient  
84 sources through fertilization is expected to boost crop yields both quantitatively and  
85 qualitatively (Fadwiwati and Tahir, 2013).

86 The research aimed to determine the effects of different ameliorants on sweet corn growth  
87 and productivity. Specifically, it evaluates nutrient concentrations (N and P), plant uptake,  
88 growth metrics, yield, and mycorrhizal populations.

89

## 90 **2. METHODOLOGY**

91

### 92 **2.1. Time and Place**

93

94 This experiment was conducted in MoncokKarya, Ampenan from February to July 2024.

95

### 96 **2.2. Experimental Design**

97

98 This experiment was conducted using a randomized block design with five  
99 treatments, namely; Control, no ameliorant (A0), Rice Husk Charcoal (AA), Cow Manure  
100 (AS), Compost (AK), and Fertile Organic Fertilizer Ameliorant (AP). Each treatment was  
101 repeated four times so that there were 20 experimental plots.

102

### 103 **2.3. Preparation and Application of Ameliorants and Indegenous Mycorrhizae**

104

105 At planting time the Ameliorant and the mycorrhiza were applied. All ameliorants according  
106 to treatments were applied at a dosage of 15 tons/ha. The mycorrhiza was applied as  
107 powdered mycorrhizal inoculum, made from a mixture of soil, roots, hyphae, and mycorrhizal  
108 spores propagated in pot culture. The pot culture was prepared in polybags containing 5 kg  
109 of soil, a sterilized mixture of soil and cow manure (1:1), inoculated with 40 g of mycorrhizal  
110 inoculum per polybag. These polybags were used for mycorrhizal propagation and planted  
111 with maize trap plants. After 50 days, the pots and plants were dismantled, and the roots and  
112 soil were air-dried for a week. Then, the soil was sieved using a 2 mm sieve, and the roots  
113 were blended into a fine powder and evenly mixed with the sieved soil. The final product was  
114 a powdered mycorrhizal inoculum.

115

116

### 117 **2.4. Plant Maintenance**

118

119 All plots were kept clean from weeds and the soil was maintained wet to approximately field  
120 capacity with good drainage system. Sweet corn was harvested after the plants reached 70  
121 days after planting (DAP), when the corn kernels were still soft, not too mature, and the  
122 husks were still a fresh green color.

123

124 **2.5.Observation Parameters**

125

126 Plant Biomass (wet/dry weight of shoots and roots), yield components (wet and dry stover,  
127 cob weight, diameter, and length) were measured and nutrient concentrations (N and P),  
128 nutrient uptake, mycorrhizal spores, and root colonization were analyzed in the laboratories.

129

130 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

131

132 **3.1. Biomass Production**

133

134 The application of cow manure significantly boosted wet and dry biomass weight of both  
135 shoots and roots at 42 and 65 DAP(Table 1).

136 **Table 1. The average weight of wet and dry biomass in ameliorant treatments aged 42**  
137 **and 65 DAP**

Ameliorative Treatment	Shoots (g)		Root (g)	
	42 dap	65 dap	42 dap	65 dap
<b>Wet Biomass</b>				
A0: Control	93.34 <sup>e</sup>	148.59 <sup>d</sup>	25.31 <sup>e</sup>	40.45 <sup>d</sup>
AA: Charcoal husk Fertilizer	169.05 <sup>d</sup>	184.43 <sup>c</sup>	51.18 <sup>d</sup>	80.37 <sup>c</sup>
US: Cow Manure	227.40 <sup>a</sup>	252.23 <sup>a</sup>	89.34 <sup>a</sup>	129.07 <sup>a</sup>
AK: Compost Fertilizer	197.74 <sup>b</sup>	228.93 <sup>ab</sup>	77.80 <sup>b</sup>	96.82 <sup>b</sup>
AP: Fertile Fertilizer	183.03 <sup>c</sup>	210.44 <sup>b</sup>	65.43 <sup>c</sup>	86.16 <sup>bc</sup>
BNJ 5%	6.27	24.89	7,02	14.73
<b>Dry Biomass</b>				
A0: Control	42.26 <sup>e</sup>	74.83 <sup>d</sup>	18.04 <sup>and</sup>	23.66 <sup>d</sup>
AA: Charcoal husk Fertilizer	89.81 <sup>d</sup>	134.25 <sup>c</sup>	27.44 <sup>d</sup>	32.99 <sup>c</sup>
US: Cow Manure	148.45 <sup>a</sup>	192.46 <sup>a</sup>	59.58 <sup>a</sup>	69.89 <sup>a</sup>
AK: Compost Fertilizer	128.73 <sup>b</sup>	166.21 <sup>b</sup>	43.83 <sup>b</sup>	49.43 <sup>b</sup>
AP: Fertile Fertilizer	111.75 <sup>c</sup>	154.29 <sup>bc</sup>	34.73 <sup>c</sup>	41.08 <sup>bc</sup>
LSD 5%	13.83	21.91	3.60	8.35

138

139 The use of cow manure ameliorant (AS) had a significant effect on the increase of the wet  
140 and dry biomass weight of plant shoots and roots at 42 and 65 DAP. At 42 DAP, plants  
141 treated with cow manure ameliorant showed a significant increase in both wet and dry  
142 biomass weight compared to the control. This was attributed to better nutrient availability  
143 from the cow manure, particularly nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, which support  
144 vegetative growth and root development (Dord et al., 2008; Atmaja et al., 2019). Additionally,  
145 the increased mycorrhizal activity resulted from the application of cow manure contributed to  
146 the breakdown of organic material into a form more easily absorbed by plants, thereby  
147 enhancing the availability of essential nutrients (Suntoro et al., 2018). It was reported that  
148 the increase in the number and activity of these microorganisms not only improved soil  
149 structure and increases water retention, but also it supported the formation and development  
150 of healthier, stronger plant tissues (Reddy et al., 2000).

151

152 At 65 DAP, the long-term effects of cow manure became even more apparent, with a  
153 significant increase in both wet and dry biomass weights of plant shoots and roots compared  
to the control. Improved soil fertility, enhanced microbial activity, and better water retention

154 contributed to higher biomass accumulation (Guo et al., 2019). The increase in soil fertility  
 155 resulted from cow manure application was also thought to support the efficiency of  
 156 photosynthesis and plant metabolism, ultimately leading to greater biomass accumulation.  
 157 Improved soil conditions allow roots to develop more effectively, enabling them to absorb  
 158 water and nutrients more efficiently. Meanwhile, a larger canopy indicates an increased  
 159 photosynthetic capacity (Golden et al., 2023).

160 Overall, the use of cow manure as an ameliorant was very effective to increase the wet and  
 161 dry biomass weight of plant shoots and roots at 42 and 65 DAP. Such improvement was  
 162 reported to be due to a combination of better nutrient availability, increased mycorrhizal  
 163 activity, improved soil structure, and enhanced soil fertility (Yunus et al., 2017).

### 164 3.2. Yield Components

165  
 166 Cow manure treatment significantly increased yield components, including wet and dry cob  
 167 weight, cob length, and diameter. This treatment also increased stover weight,  
 168 demonstrating its efficacy in supporting overall plant productivity (Table 2).

169 **Table 2. Average plant yield components in the ameliorant treatment at 65 days after**  
 170 **planting**

Ameliorant Treatment	WCW	DCW	WCWP	CD	CL
A0: Control	74.89 <sup>e</sup>	43.89 <sup>d</sup>	4.83 <sup>e</sup>	3.59 <sup>d</sup>	19.24 <sup>e</sup>
AA: Charcoal husk Fertilizer	173.25 <sup>d</sup>	76.99 <sup>c</sup>	5.96 <sup>d</sup>	4.19 <sup>c</sup>	22.53 <sup>d</sup>
US: Cow Manure	246.97 <sup>a</sup>	150.49 <sup>a</sup>	9.03 <sup>a</sup>	5.60 <sup>a</sup>	26.02 <sup>a</sup>
AK: Compost Fertilizer	225.55 <sup>b</sup>	125.83 <sup>b</sup>	7.91 <sup>b</sup>	5.33 <sup>a</sup>	24.72 <sup>b</sup>
AP: Fertile Fertilizer	212.50 <sup>c</sup>	111.26 <sup>b</sup>	6.97 <sup>c</sup>	5.00 <sup>b</sup>	23.65 <sup>c</sup>
LSD 5%	12.61	18.35	0.44	0.31	0.54

171 Note: WCW (Wet cob weight), Dry cob weight (DCW), Wet cob weight per plot (WCWP),  
 172 Cob diameter (CD), Cob length (CL).  
 173

174 In Table 3, the ameliorant treatment of cow manure with (AS) had a significant effect on the  
 175 weight of wet and dry stover per plot compared to other treatments. The wet and dry  
 176 weightsof stover in plots treatedwith cow manure ameliorant were increased one and a half  
 177 times and two times, respectively, compared to the control (Table 3).

178 **Table 3. Weight of wet and dry stover per plot (kg) in ameliorant treatment at 65 days**

Ameliorant Treatment	Wet stover weight	Dry stover weight
A0: Control	6.89 <sup>e</sup>	2.87 <sup>d</sup>
AA: Charcoal husk Fertilizer	7.75 <sup>d</sup>	3.12 <sup>d</sup>
US: Cow Manure	9.42 <sup>a</sup>	4.83 <sup>a</sup>
AK: Compost Fertilizer	8.41 <sup>b</sup>	3.85 <sup>b</sup>
AP: Fertile Fertilizer	8.10 <sup>c</sup>	3.48 <sup>c</sup>
LSD 5%	0.25	0.34

179  
 180 Cow manure ameliorant (AS) had a significant effect on increasing crop production, which  
 181 can be explained through various interacting mechanisms. Cow manure is rich in  
 182 macronutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, which are essential for plant  
 183 growth (Esmailpour et al., 2020). Nitrogen plays a role in the synthesis of amino acids and  
 184 proteins, which are vital for vegetative tissue growth, while phosphorus is involved in the  
 185 formation of strong roots and energy transfer within plant cells. In line with these two  
 186 elements, Potassium aids in stomatal regulation and enhances the efficiency of  
 187 photosynthesis.

188 In addition to providing nutrients, the application of cow manure also boosts mycorrhizal  
 189 activity in decomposing organic matter and increasing nutrient availability for plants (Gumu,  
 190 2019). Mycorrhiza not only enhances nutrient availability but also improves soil structure,  
 191 leading to better aeration and the soil's ability to retain water. Furthermore, cow manure  
 192 increases the cation exchange capacity of the soil, enabling it to store more nutrients that  
 193 can be absorbed by plants, thereby improving productivity.

194 The increase in organic matter content in the soil due to cow manure ameliorant treatment  
 195 also enhances water retention and helps prevent drought, both of which are essential for  
 196 optimal plant growth (Larney and Angers, 2012). Ultimately, all of these factors contribute to  
 197 a significant increase in crop yields. This research demonstrates that plants treated with cow  
 198 manure produced more biomass and higher yields compared to those without organic  
 199 fertilizer treatment. Therefore, the use of cow manure as an ameliorant not only improves  
 200 soil quality but also enhances nutrient use efficiency, thereby promoting increased crop  
 201 production (Jensen, 2013).

### 202 3.3. Nutrient Uptake

203

204 Cow manure ameliorant doubled the total N concentration and increased available P  
 205 concentration by up to six times at 42 and 65 DAP (Table 4).

206 **Table 4. Average concentrations of total N and available P nutrients in the ameliorant**  
 207 **treatment aged 42 and 65 DAP**

Ameliorant Treatment	N total (g.kg <sup>-1</sup> )		P available (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	
	42 dap	65 dap	42 dap	65 dap
A0: Control	0.91 <sup>e</sup>	8.31 <sup>e</sup>	15.72 <sup>e</sup>	19.21 <sup>e</sup>
AA: Charcoal husk Fertilizer	1.41 <sup>d</sup>	16.75 <sup>d</sup>	17.82 <sup>d</sup>	27.14 <sup>d</sup>
US: Cow Manure	1.77 <sup>a</sup>	65.15 <sup>a</sup>	61.95 <sup>a</sup>	76.75 <sup>a</sup>
AK: Compost Fertilizer	1.65 <sup>b</sup>	45.46 <sup>b</sup>	35.92 <sup>b</sup>	51.53 <sup>b</sup>
AP: Fertile Fertilizer	1.50 <sup>c</sup>	20.85 <sup>c</sup>	19.14 <sup>c</sup>	35.74 <sup>c</sup>
BNJ 5%	0.01	0.06	0.01	0.03

208

209 Ameliorant treatment using cow manure (AS) had a significant effect on the concentration of  
 210 total nitrogen (N) and available phosphorus (P) in the soil, which are key factors in  
 211 enhancing soil fertility. Cow manure contains a high nitrogen content, which, when applied to  
 212 the soil, is decomposed by mycorrhiza into simpler forms that are easily absorbed by plants,  
 213 thereby increasing the total nitrogen concentration in the soil (Son et al., 2020). This  
 214 mineralization process is driven by microbial activity, which accelerates the decomposition of  
 215 organic materials, converting organic nitrogen into inorganic forms such as ammonium  
 216 (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) and nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>), making them more available to plants (Rayne and Aula, 2020).

217 In addition, the phosphorus content in cow manure not only contributes directly to increasing  
 218 available phosphorus, but also alters the dynamics of phosphorus bound in the soil.  
 219 Enhanced microbial activity facilitates nutrient mineralization, improving plant nutrient uptake  
 220 efficiency (Alori et al., 2017). The results of this research also show that the ameliorant  
 221 treatment of cow manure increased the cation exchange capacity of the soil, which helps  
 222 retain nutrients better and reduces nutrient leaching due to high rainfall (Deacon and  
 223 Montemurro, 2011).

224 Furthermore, the increase in organic material content from cow manure can improve soil  
 225 structure, which in turn enhances aeration and water retention. All these factors contribute to  
 226 the increased availability of total nitrogen and phosphorus nutrients, which are essential for

227 optimal plant growth (Astiko et al., 2019). Thus, the use of cow manure as an ameliorant has  
228 proven to be effective in improving soil quality and nutrient availability, thereby supporting  
229 better plant growth and production (Li et al., 2022).

230 Cow manure (AS) ameliorant treatment significantly increased plant N and P nutrient uptake  
231 compared to other treatments at 42 DAP. Compared to the control itself, the increase in  
232 plant N and P nutrient uptake in the cow manure ameliorant treatment was up to twofold in  
233 the maximum vegetative growth phase (Table 5).

234 **Table 5. Average N and P nutrient uptake of plants in the ameliorant treatment aged 42**  
235 **DAP**

Ameliorant Treatment	N uptake (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	P absorption (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )
	42 dap	42 dap
A0: Control	21.46 <sup>e</sup>	2.01 <sup>e</sup>
AA: Charcoal husk Fertilizer	29.74 <sup>d</sup>	2.23 <sup>d</sup>
US: Cow Manure	43.84 <sup>a</sup>	4.07 <sup>a</sup>
AK: Compost Fertilizer	33.42 <sup>b</sup>	3.85 <sup>b</sup>
AP: Fertile Fertilizer	31.94 <sup>c</sup>	3.34 <sup>c</sup>
LSD 5%	0.02	0.02

236  
237 The use of cow manure (AS) as an ameliorant had a significant impact on the uptake of  
238 nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) nutrients by plants, as evidenced by an increase in the  
239 concentration of these nutrients in plant tissues compared to the control. Cow manure  
240 contains nitrogen in an organic form that is easily decomposed and can be converted by  
241 mycorrhiza into a more plant-available form, such as ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) and nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>),  
242 through the mineralization process (Empty et al., 2010). This process not only increases  
243 nitrogen availability but also boosts microbial activity in the soil, which is essential for  
244 breaking down bound nitrogen compounds, thereby improving the efficiency of nitrogen  
245 uptake by plant roots (Geisseler et al., 2010).

246 On the other hand, better phosphorus availability is also achieved with the application of cow  
247 manure. The activity of microorganisms, such as bacteria and mycorrhizal fungi, triggered by  
248 the addition of this organic fertilizer, helps the release of phosphorus bound in the soil,  
249 making it more accessible to plants (Bear et al., 2005). Additionally, cow manure improves  
250 soil structure by increasing organic matter content, which enhances cation exchange  
251 capacity and water retention, allowing the soil to store more nutrients and reducing nutrient  
252 leaching due to rainfall (Fageria, 2012).

253 Earlier research showed that plants treated with cow manure ameliorant exhibited a  
254 significant increase in nitrogen and phosphorus uptake, which positively impacted plant  
255 growth, development, and yield (Reddy et al., 2000). Therefore, applying cow manure as an  
256 ameliorant not only increases the availability of nitrogen and phosphorus in the soil but also  
257 enhances the ability of plants to efficiently absorb these nutrients, making it crucial for  
258 boosting sustainable agricultural productivity (Jala and Goyal, 2006).

259

### 260 **3.4. Mycorrhizal Activity**

261

262 Cow manure significantly increased mycorrhizal spore counts and root colonization at the  
263 ages of 42 and 65 DAP. The increase in the number of spores in the ameliorant treatment of

264 cow manure compared to the control was twofold, while colonization increased up to one  
265 and a half times (Table 6).

266 **Table 6. The mean number of spores (spores per 100 g of soil) and colonization value**  
267 **(%-colonization) in ameliorant treatments aged 42 and 65 DAP**

Ameliorant Treatment	Number of spores		Colonization	
	42 dap	65 dap	42 dap	65 dap
A0: Control	1101 <sup>d</sup>	1953 <sup>e</sup>	60.00 <sup>d</sup>	70.00 <sup>d</sup>
AA: Charcoal husk Fertilizer	1218 <sup>d</sup>	2384 <sup>d</sup>	70.00 <sup>c</sup>	80.00 <sup>c</sup>
US: Cow Manure	2323 <sup>a</sup>	4000 <sup>a</sup>	90.00 <sup>a</sup>	96.66 <sup>a</sup>
AK: Compost Fertilizer	1508 <sup>b</sup>	2957 <sup>b</sup>	80.00 <sup>b</sup>	90.00 <sup>ab</sup>
AP: Fertile Fertilizer	1364 <sup>c</sup>	2669 <sup>c</sup>	76.66 <sup>bc</sup>	83.33 <sup>bc</sup>
BNJ 5%	140.07	274.97	9.72	8.76

268  
269 The use of cow manure (AS) as an ameliorant significantly increased the number of  
270 mycorrhizal spores and the level of root colonization by mycorrhiza compared to the control,  
271 which had positive implications for plant health and productivity. Cow manure is rich in  
272 organic materials that support the growth of microorganisms in the soil, including mycorrhizal  
273 fungi, which form a symbiotic relationship with plant roots. When these fertilizers are applied,  
274 the decomposed organic matter provides a source of nutrients necessary for the  
275 development and proliferation of mycorrhizal spores (Ingiehon and Babalola, 2017; Li et al.,  
276 2022).

277 The results of this study indicated that the addition of cow manure ameliorant significantly  
278 increased the number of mycorrhizal spores in the soil, as the organic material facilitates the  
279 growth and activity of these fungi (Herawati et al., 2021). Additionally, the increase in the  
280 number of spores leads to higher root colonization by mycorrhiza. This occurs because  
281 mycorrhizal fungi penetrate plant root tissue and form arbuscular mycorrhizal structures,  
282 which are effective in enhancing nutrient absorption, especially phosphorus, which is critical  
283 for optimal plant growth (Rashid et al., 2016). This symbiotic relationship not only improves  
284 nutrient uptake efficiency but also helps plants cope with abiotic stress such as  
285 drought (Bhatt et al., 2019).

286 Thus, the use of cow manure ameliorant not only increases the number and activity of  
287 mycorrhizal spores but also strengthens the symbiotic interactions that are vital for plant  
288 health and soil fertility (Astiko et al., 2013; Klironomos and Hart, 2002).

#### 289 **4. CONCLUSION**

290  
291 Cow manure was a highly effective ameliorant for improving the growth and productivity of  
292 sweet corn in sandy soil. It enhanced the availability of nitrogen and phosphorus (NP),  
293 increased biomass and yield, and improved soil fertility while promoting mycorrhizal activity.  
294 This study highlighted the importance of organic amendments in sustainable agricultural  
295 practices.  
296



297 **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

298

299 Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language  
300 Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the  
301 writing or editing of this manuscript.

302

303 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

304

305 The authors declare no competing interests.

306

307 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

308

309 Wahyu Astiko: Experiment design, interpretation, and manuscript writing. Sudirman:  
310 Grammar and English editing and tissue analysis. Ni Made Laksmi Ernawati and  
311 Irwan Muthahanas: Data analysis, laboratory observations, and soil analysis. All authors  
312 approved the final manuscript.

313

314 **REFERENCES**

315

316 Astiko, W., I.R. Sastrahidayat, S. Djauhari dan A. Muhibuddin. 2013. The role of Indigenous  
317 mycorrhiza in combination with cattle manure in improving maize yield (*Zea mays* L.)  
318 on sandy loam of Northern Lombok, Eastern Indonesia. *Journal of Tropical Soils*. 18  
319 (1): 53-58. DOI: [10.5400/jts.2012.18.1.53](https://doi.org/10.5400/jts.2012.18.1.53)

320 Astiko, W., Wangiyana, W., & Susilowati, L. E. 2019. Indigenous Mycorrhizal Seed-coating  
321 Inoculation on Plant Growth and Yield, and NP-uptake and Availability on  
322 Maize sorghum Cropping Sequence in Lombok's Drylands. *Pertanika Journal of*  
323 *Tropical Agricultural Science*, 42(3).

324 Alori, E. T., Glick, B. R., & Babalola, O. O. 2017. Microbial phosphorus solubilization and its  
325 potential for use in sustainable agriculture. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 8, 971. doi:  
326 [10.3389/fmicb.2017.00971](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00971)

327 Atmaja, D., Wirajaya, A. A. N. M., & Kartini, L. 2019. Effect of goat and cow manure fertilizer  
328 on the growth of shallot (*Allium ascalonicum* L). *Sustainable Environment*  
329 *Agricultural Science*, 3(1), 19-23. <http://dx.doi.org/10.22225/seas.3.1.1336.19-23>

330 Central Bureau of Statistics. 2022. "Corn Productivity Data in Indonesia in 2021". Available  
331 at: <https://www.bps.go.id/>. accessed on March 10, 2024

332 Barea, J. M., Azcón, R., & Azcón-Aguilar, C. 2005. Interactions between mycorrhizal fungi  
333 and bacteria to improve plant nutrient cycling and soil structure. In *Microorganisms*  
334 *in soils: roles in genesis and functions* (pp. 195-212). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer  
335 Berlin Heidelberg.

336 Bhatt, M. K., Labanya, R., & Joshi, H. C. 2019. Influence of long-term chemical fertilizers and  
337 organic manures on soil fertility-A review. *Universal Journal of Agricultural Research*,  
338 7(5), 177-188. DOI: [10.13189/ujar.2019.070502](https://doi.org/10.13189/ujar.2019.070502)

339 Dariah, A., Sutono, S., Neneng, L., Nurida, Hartatik, W., and Pratiwi, E. 2015. Pembenh  
340 Tanah untuk Meningkatkan Produktivitas Lahan Pertanian. *Jurnal Sumberdaya*  
341 *Lahan*. 9(2): 67-84.

342 Diacono, M., & Montemurro, F. 2011. Long-term effects of organic amendments on soil  
343 fertility. *Sustainable agriculture volume 2*, 761-786. DOI: [10.1051/agro/2009040](https://doi.org/10.1051/agro/2009040)

344 Esmailpour, B., Einizadeh, S., & Pourrahimi, G. 2020. Effects of vermicompost produced  
345 from cow manure on the growth, yield and nutrition contents of cucumber  
346 (*Cucumis sativa*). *Journal of Central European Agriculture*, 21(1), 104-112. DOI:  
347 [/10.5513/JCEA01/21.1.2121](https://doi.org/10.5513/JCEA01/21.1.2121)

- 348 Fadwiwati A.Y., Tahir, A.G. 2013. Analysis of Factors Affecting Corn Farming Production  
349 and Income in Gorontalo Province. *Jurnal Pengkajian dan Pengembangan*  
350 *Teknologi Pengkajian* 16 (2) : 92-101.
- 351 Fageria, N. K. 2012. Role of soil organic matter in maintaining sustainability of cropping  
352 systems. *Communications in soil science and plant analysis*, 43(16), 2063-  
353 2113.<https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2012.697234>
- 354 Fahmi, A. 2010. Pengaruh Interaksi Hara Nitrogen dan Fosfor Terhadap Pertumbuhan  
355 Tanaman Jagung (*Zea mays*. L) Pada Tanah Regosol dan Latosol. *Berita*  
356 *Biologi*10(3). Yogyakarta.
- 357 Geisseler, D., Horwath, W. R., Joergensen, R. G., & Ludwig, B. 2010. Pathways of nitrogen  
358 utilization by soil microorganisms—a review. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42(12),  
359 2058-2067.[doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.08.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.08.021)
- 360 Guo, Z., Zhang, J., Fan, J., Yang, X., Yi, Y., Han, X., & Peng, X. 2019. Does animal manure  
361 application improve soil aggregation? Insights from nine long-term fertilization  
362 experiments. *Science of the Total Environment*, 660, 1029-  
363 1037.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.051>
- 364 Gurmu, G. 2019. Soil organic matter and its role in soil health and crop productivity  
365 improvement. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 7(7), 475-483.[DOI:](https://doi.org/10.14662/ARJASR2019.147)  
366 [10.14662/ARJASR2019.147](https://doi.org/10.14662/ARJASR2019.147)
- 367 Goldan, E., Nedeff, V., Barsan, N., Culea, M., Panainte-Lehadus, M., Mosnegutu, E., &  
368 Irimia, O. 2023. Assessment of manure compost used as soil amendment review.  
369 *Processes*, 11(4), 1167.<https://doi.org/10.3390/pr11041167>
- 370 Hardjowigeno, S. 1995. *Soil Classification and Pedogenesis*. First Edition. Presindo  
371 Academics. Jakarta.273pp.
- 372 Hendra, H., Nelvia, N., & Wardati, W. 2015. Aplikasi Amelioran Jerami dan Sekam Padi pada  
373 Tanah Gambut terhadap Pertumbuhan dan Produksi Kedelai. *Jurnal Agroteknologi*  
374 *Tropika*. 3(2), 45-51.
- 375 Herawati, A., Syamsiyah, J., Mujiyo, M., Rochmadtulloh, M., Susila, A. A., & Romadhon, M.  
376 R. 2021. Mycorrhizae and a soil ameliorant on improving the characteristics of sandy  
377 soil. *SAINS TANAH-Journal of Soil Science and Agroclimatology*, 18(1), 73-  
378 80.<https://dx.doi.org/10.20961/stjssa.v18i1.43697>
- 379 Huo, W. G., Chai, X. F., Wang, X. H., Batchelor, W. D., Kafle, A., & Gu, F. E. N. G. 2022.  
380 Indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi play a role in phosphorus depletion in  
381 organic manure amended high fertility soil. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 21(10),  
382 3051-3066.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jia.2022.07.045>
- 383 Igiehon, N. O., & Babalola, O. O. 2017. Biofertilizers and sustainable agriculture: exploring  
384 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 101, 4871-  
385 4881.[DOI 10.1007/s00253-017-8344-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-017-8344-z)
- 386 Jala, S., & Goyal, D. 2006. Fly ash as a soil ameliorant for improving crop production review.  
387 *Bioresource Technology*, 97(9), 1136-1147.[doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2004.09.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2004.09.004)
- 388 Klironomos, J. N., & Hart, M. M. 2002. Colonization of roots by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi  
389 using different sources of inoculum. *Mycorrhiza*, 12, 181-184.[DOI 10.1007/s00572-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-002-0169-6)  
390 [002-0169-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-002-0169-6)
- 391 Larney, F. J., & Angers, D. A. 2012. The role of organic amendments in soil reclamation: A  
392 review. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 92(1), 19-38. [doi:10.4141/CJSS2010-064](https://doi.org/10.4141/CJSS2010-064)
- 393 Li, S., Liu, Z., Li, J., Liu, Z., Gu, X., & Shi, L. 2022. Cow manure compost promotes maize  
394 growth and ameliorates soil quality in saline-alkali soil: Role of fertilizer addition rate  
395 and application depth. *Sustainability*, 14(16),  
396 10088.<https://doi.org/10.3390/su141610088>
- 397 Ministry of Agriculture of the Republic of Indonesia (Kementan RI). 2021. Standard  
398 Operational Procedures for Variety Assessment for the Release of Food Crop  
399 Varieties. Republic of Indonesia Ministry of Agriculture.

- 400 Muhsanati., Syarif, A. dan Rahayu, S. 2008. Pengaruh Beberapa Takaran Kompos Tithonia  
401 Terhadap Pertumbuhan dan Hasil Tanaman Jagung Manis (*Zea mays saccharata*).  
402 *Jerami* (1): 87-91.
- 403 Ngosong, C., Jarosch, M., Raupp, J., Neumann, E., & Ruess, L. 2010. The impact of farming  
404 practice on soil microorganisms and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: Crop type versus  
405 long-term mineral and organic fertilization. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 46(1), 134-  
406 142.[doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2010.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2010.07.004)
- 407 Nuryah, S., Astiko, W., & Muthahanas, I. 2023. Pengaruh Beberapa Dosis Bioamelioran Plus  
408 Mikoriza Indigenus Terhadap Pertumbuhan Dan Hasil Jagung Ketan (*Zea mays* var.  
409 ceratina). *Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa Agrokomplek*. 2(1): 1-9.[DOI: https://doi.org/10.29303/jima.v2i1.2124](https://doi.org/10.29303/jima.v2i1.2124)
- 410 Novira, F. H. 2015. Pemberian Pupuk Limbah Cair Biogas dan Urea, TSP, KCl terhadap  
411 Pertumbuhan dan Produksi Tanaman Jagung Manis (*Zea mays saccharata* Sturt).  
412 *Jom Faperta*. 2(1) : 1-18.
- 413 Novizan. 2005. Petunjuk Pemupukan Yang Efektif. Agromedia Pustaka. Jakarta.
- 414 Putra, S. S., Putra, E. T. S., & Widada, J. 2020. The effects of types of manure and  
415 mycorrhizal applications on sandy soils on the growth and yield of curly red chili  
416 (*Potato year L.*).[DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.20961/carakatani.v35i2.34971](http://dx.doi.org/10.20961/carakatani.v35i2.34971)
- 417 Purba, R. 2015. Kajian Pemanfaatan Amelioran Pada Lahan Kering Dalam Meningkatkan  
418 Hasil dan Keuntungan Usahatani Kedelai. *Jurnal Pros Sem Nas Masy Biodiv Indon*.  
419 1(6): 1483-1486. [DOI: 10.13057/psnmbi/m010638](https://doi.org/10.13057/psnmbi/m010638)
- 420 Prihmantoro, H. 2003. Memupuk Tanaman Sayur. Penebar Swadaya. Jakarta.
- 421 Rashid, M. I., Mujawar, L. H., Shahzad, T., Almeelbi, T., Ismail, I. M., & Oves, M. 2016.  
422 Bacteria and fungi can contribute to nutrient bioavailability and aggregate formation  
423 in degraded soils. *Microbiological research*, 183, 26-  
424 41.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2015.11.007>
- 425 Rayne, N., & Aula, L. 2020. Livestock manure and the impacts on soil health: A review. *Soil*  
426 *Systems*, 4(4), 64.[doi:10.3390/soilsystems4040064](https://doi.org/10.3390/soilsystems4040064)
- 427 Reddy, D. D., Rao, A. S., & Rupa, T. R. 2000. Effects of continuous use of cattle manure  
428 and fertilizer phosphorus on crop yields and soil organic phosphorus in a Vertisol.  
429 *Bioresource Technology*, 75(2), 113-118.
- 430 Rokhminarsi, E., Utami, D. S., & Begananda, N. 2019.  
431 Efektivitas pupuk hayati mikoriza berbasis azolla (mikola) pada tanaman bawang merah  
432 (effectiveness of biofertilizer mycorrhiza based azolla (mikola) on  
433 shallot). *Jurnal Hortikultura*, 29(1), 45-52.
- 434 Situmeang, Y. P. 2017. Utilization of Biochar, Compost, and Phonska in Improving Corn  
435 Results on Dry Land. *IRJEIS*. Vol (3): 38-48.<http://dx.doi.org/10.21744/irjeis.v3i3.454>
- 436 Sofia, I, Asritanarni M dan Mhd. Sofyan 2014. Pengaruh Pupuk Organik Cair Terhadap  
437 Pertumbuhan dan Hasil Dua Varietas Jagung Manis (*Zea mays saccharata* Sturt).  
438 *Jurnal Agrium* Vol 18. (3). 209.
- 439 Suntoro, S., Widijanto, H., Syamsiyah, J., Afinda, D. W., Dimasyuri, N. R., & Triyas, V. 2018.  
440 Effect of cow manure and dolomite on nutrient uptake and growth of corn (*Zea mays*  
441 *L.*). *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 24(6).
- 442 Syukur, M dan Azis Rifianto. 2013. Jagung Manis. Penebar Swadaya : Jakarta. 130 hal.
- 443 Usda, Nutrient Data Laboratory, A. 2019. Ndb Number: 20648, Sorghum Flour. Retrieved  
444 From <https://Fdc.Nal.USda.Gov/Fdc-App.Html#/Food-Details/168943/Nutrients>
- 445 Wawo, V. V. P. 2018. Pengaruh Dosis Pupuk Kandang Sapi Terhadap Sifat Fisik dan Kimia  
446 Tanah Pada Tanaman Kacang Tanah (*Arachis Hypogaea L.*). *AGRICA* 11(2): 153-  
447 163.
- 448 Yunus, A., Pujiasmanto, B., Cahyani, V. R., & Lestariana, D. S. 2017. The effect of  
449 arbuscular mycorrhiza and organic manure on soybean growth and nutrient content  
450 in Indonesia. *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 23(4).
- 451