

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Scientific Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JSRR_130009 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Assessing Knowledge level of farmers, Agricultural market office bearers, Agricultural officers and Agricultural traders about Market led Extension in Telangana |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it addresses critical knowledge gaps in market-led extension among key agricultural stakeholders in Telangana. By identifying the current knowledge levels of farmers, traders, agricultural officers, and market office bearers, the study provides valuable insights into the challenges hindering the adoption of market-oriented agricultural practices. The findings emphasize the need for capacity-building programs and collaborative initiatives to enhance stakeholders' understanding and engagement with market-led extension, which is essential for improving agricultural productivity and profitability. | Thankyou |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The title of the article is suitable as it clearly reflects the study's focus on assessing the knowledge level of various stakeholders about market-led extension in Telangana. However, a more concise and precise alternative could be: "Knowledge Assessment of Stakeholders on Market-Led Extension in Telangana" This alternative maintains the core message while improving clarity and readability. | Changed (or) corrected |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is comprehensive but could be improved by including concise explanations of technical terms, explicitly highlight key findings (percentages), and avoid repetitive details in recommendations to improve readability and impact. | corrected |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | The manuscript appears scientifically correct, with a clear methodology and well-documented findings. However, it could benefit from additional statistical analysis and citation of relevant literature to strengthen its conclusions and contextualize the results within broader research. | Thankyou |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | The references appear relevant but should be reviewed for recent publications to ensure up-to-date information. Incorporating recent studies on market-led extension and capacity-building initiatives could enhance the manuscript's scholarly value. | No capacity building study's on Market led extension have conducted. New references have provided |

Review Form 3

| | | |
|--|--|--------------|
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The language is generally clear but requires minor revisions for grammatical accuracy and consistency in scholarly tone. Polishing sentence structures and eliminating redundancies will enhance readability and academic presentation. | Corrected |
| Optional/General comments | The manuscript addresses an important and underexplored aspect of market-led extension in Telangana, offering valuable insights for improving stakeholders' knowledge and practices. Strengthening the methodology and refining the presentation of findings will further improve its impact. Additionally, incorporating actionable policy recommendations can make the study more impactful for practical implementation. No ethical issues are apparent in this manuscript. There do not appear to be any competing interest issues in this manuscript. | incorporated |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |