

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_130076
Title of the Manuscript:	Research and Development of an Experimental Device for Military Communication Using Multi-level Amplitude Shift Keying
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>The manuscript addresses an important area in the scientific community.</b>	We sincerely thank the reviewer for recognizing the significance of the research topic addressed in our manuscript. The development of robust and efficient military communication systems is indeed a critical area that has broad implications for both scientific progress and practical applications in defense technology. We are motivated by the opportunity to contribute to this field and appreciate the reviewer's acknowledgment of its importance.
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>yes</b>	We appreciate the reviewer's feedback and are pleased to know that the title is considered suitable. We believe the title effectively reflects the key focus and scope of the research, and we are grateful for the confirmation.
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The abstract need to be modified to provide the main research findings results, maybe under different scenarios</b>	We appreciate the reviewer's feedback regarding the abstract. In response, we have revised the abstract to highlight the main research findings and to provide context under different scenarios. The updated abstract now emphasizes the performance of the developed system under varying conditions, addressing the comment thoroughly.
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes it is scientifically correct	We appreciate the reviewer's observation and are pleased that the manuscript is deemed scientifically correct. We have taken great care to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the research methods, analyses, and conclusions presented in the study.
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are not adequate. The authors need to select more from technical articles.	Thank you for your valuable suggestion. I have added 5 additional relevant and recent references to the manuscript, as requested. These references have been included with their original sources, and the updated references section is highlighted in the revised manuscript for your review.
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language is ok	Thank you for confirming that the language quality is suitable for scholarly communication.
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	