

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_130173
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating the Watershed: Challenges and Opportunities for Women's Empowerment in Rural Development
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p><b>Areas for improvement in the abstract:</b></p> <p>1. Clearly state the research focus and objectives.</p> <p>2. Include specific outcomes and impacts of Self-Help Groups (SHGs) on women's empowerment.</p> <p>3. Highlight key dimensions of women's empowerment, such as economic, social, political, and environmental.</p> <p>4. Discuss challenges faced by women in rural development, including gender inequality and limited resource access.</p> <p>5. Emphasize the need for policy integration to address gender norms and unequal representation in watershed management.</p> <p>The abstract contains a grammar error: <b>"has improved the uptake of women in economic activities" should be corrected to "have improved the uptake of women in economic activities."</b></p> <p><b>Substantial improvements are necessary.</b></p> <p>1. Include a clear and concise abstract summarizing the key findings and implications. 2. Provide a more detailed introduction to set the context and importance of women's empowerment in rural development. 3. Clearly define the objectives and scope of the study. 4. Include a comprehensive literature review to support the study's background and significance. 5. Use a mixed-methods framework to provide a more holistic understanding of the impact of self-help groups (SHGs) on women's empowerment. 6. Incorporate more quantitative indicators to strengthen the study's findings. 7. Address the limitations of the study, such as sample size or data collection methods. 8. Discuss the implications of the findings for policy and practice. 9. Provide recommendations for integrating policies to address gender inequality and limited resource access. 10. Include a conceptual framework to visually represent the relationships between SHGs, watershed programs, and women's empowerment. 11. Augment the discourse concerning the function of self-help groups (SHGs) in facilitating women's engagement in economic endeavours and fostering social advancement. 12. Explore the potential challenges faced by SHGs in achieving their goals and suggest strategies to overcome them. 13. Consider the long-term sustainability and scalability of SHGs in promoting women's empowerment. 14. Discuss the broader implications of women's empowerment in rural development beyond economic aspects.15. Conclude by presenting a synthesis of principal discoveries and prospective avenues for further scholarly investigation.</p>	Thanks for the valuable comments. Noted and revised as per the suggestions

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	