

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_129998
Title of the Manuscript:	SURGICAL CORRECTION OF FLATFOOT DUE TO INJURY TO THE POSTERIOR TIBIAL MUSCLE TENDON: CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It's rare case report, spontaneous/ Traumatic(isolated) rupture of tibialis posterior tendon is very rare. Clinical presentation and surgical technique might be helpful for surgeon's.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Can be add – case report with review of literature. Adding review of literature will be helpful for the readers to better understand the context.	Thank you for your valuable suggestion.

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aims : can be replaced with introduction 2. Introduction given emphasis on same 3. Presentation of case change to Case Presentation 4. Discussion should be more elaborative 5. Conclusion should be short and to the point. 	<p>It was done this way because we followed the instructions from the template document provided on the journal's website, which states the following: “(Note: 1. Case Reports should follow the structure of Abstract, Introduction, Presentation of Case, Discussion, Conclusion, Acknowledgements, Competing Interests, Authors’ Contributions, Consent (where applicable), Ethical approval (where applicable), and References plus figures and/or tables. Abstract (not more than 250 words) of the Case reports should have the following sections: Aims, Presentation of Case, Discussion and Conclusion. Only Case Reports have word limits: Papers should not exceed 2000 words, 20 references or 5 figures. Other Type of papers have no word limits.”</p> <p>We have accepted and implemented the suggestions related to the discussion and conclusion.</p>
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. References mostly added in Vancouver format 2. Improve English sentences for better understanding of text. E.g. “ with the aim of correcting the flatfoot associated with PTT rupture, which is what the present study conducted by the authors guided by the means of the CARE 2013 checklist set out to do.” These sentences can be written in better format. Similar mistakes are noted in full article. 3. Review article to senior consultant and review in better format. 4. Case presentation: write in clinical format – like a story, include mode of injury, clinical presentation duration, treatment received after injury, was it spontaneous or trauma, sprain can't be mechanism of injury, it may be slip & fall, sudden tilt of ankle, RTA or whatever. Add MRI radiographs to show tendon injury and flat foot. 5. Add - Graft donor site image , figure 3 – legends are not clear. 6. “The surgical team responsible for the procedure did not observe any potential limitations in performing the surgery, given that clinical and imaging exams indicated the need for invasive surgical intervention to correct the observed longitudinal and transverse plantar arches in pre-surgical medical evaluations” what does it means?? 7. Author can add table of literature review of previously published article for better understanding the case report and tendon repair. 8. Organise the discussion in the format – related to posterior tibialis tendon from clinical presentation to repair. 9. Don't add multiple paragraphs 10. Write conclusion in very concise and crispy way. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We did not format the references in Vancouver format because we followed the instructions provided in the template document available on the journal's website, which states the following: “All references should follow the following style: Reference to a journal: For Published paper: Hilly, M., Adams, M. L., & Nelson, S. C. (2002). A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clinical and Experimental Allergy, 32(4), 489-498. References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated as (Author name, year).” 2. We have accepted and implemented the suggestions improving the writing in all article. 3. We have accepted and implemented the suggestions. 4. We have accepted and implemented the suggestions about the writing of the case presentation. We did not adhere to the suggestion regarding the addition of the MRI radiographs because, unfortunately, we do not have access to it, and we have already reached the maximum number of figures allowed by the journal, as specified in the template document: “(Note: 1. Case Reports should follow the structure of Abstract, Introduction, Presentation of Case, Discussion, Conclusion, Acknowledgements, Competing Interests, Authors’ Contributions, Consent (where applicable), Ethical approval (where applicable), and References plus figures and/or tables. Abstract (not more than 250 words) of the Case reports should have the following sections: Aims, Presentation of Case, Discussion and Conclusion. Only Case Reports have word limits: Papers should not exceed 2000 words, 20 references or 5 figures. Other Type of papers have no word limits.” 5. Unfortunately, we do not have an image of the Graft donor site, and we have already reached the maximum number of figures allowed by the journal.

Review Form 3

		<p>We have reformulated and improved the legends for Figure 3.</p> <p>6. We have accepted and implemented the suggestions. We have excluded the paragraph due to a formatting error; it should not have been included.</p> <p>7. We did not adhere to this suggestion because, although we provided a brief literary context, our main objective is to produce a case report.</p> <p>8. We have accepted and implemented the suggestions</p> <p>9. We have accepted and implemented the suggestions</p> <p>10. We have accepted and implemented the suggestions</p>
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>References are not upto mark – use proper format.</p>	<p>We have strictly followed the journal's instructions, provided in the template document available on the journal's website, which states the following: <i>"All references should follow the following style: Reference to a journal: For Published paper: Hilly, M., Adams, M. L., & Nelson, S. C. (2002). A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clinical and Experimental Allergy, 32(4), 489-498.</i></p> <p>References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated as (Author name, year)."</p> <p>Nevertheless, we will conduct another review to correct any potential errors that may have gone unnoticed.</p>
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English and Grammer is not proper – AI may correct the words and Grammer but AI cant correct the meaning and sentencing of the text. I request Author to please consult with senior or expert in writing article to correct the text and give meaning to manuscript.</p>	<p>We have accepted and implemented the suggestions reformulating and improving the writing in all article.</p>
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	