

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129895
Title of the Manuscript:	Documentation of Ichthyofaunal Diversity in Gavier Lake, Surat
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I suggest the authors to include review of literature related to this work or field in the manuscript. The map showing the study area should be properly prepared along with the legends.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes., the abstract is comprehensive. However, I suggest to improve it by including few points related to the results in the abstract.	ok
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, Improving following point: Material and methods are inappropriate in the manuscript. Citations and methodology followed while identifying the fishes should be mentioned in the article. I also suggest the authors to describe the fish identification protocol/ book/ manual properly. Clearly state the methods, results, and conclusions.	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Please cite the recent references by going through the scientific literature.	Noted
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used in the manuscript needs to be improved for scholarly communications.	Noted
Optional/General comments	1. Photograph is inappropriate, and include fish species photographs. Also add appropriate figure legends. 2. Result and Values of the parameters are not mentioned in proper way. 3. Abstract, Introduction, Methodology, Results and discussion to be described properly in scientific manner. 4. Include 5-7 keywords and add information related to the conservation status of the fishes mentioned in the manuscript. 5. Conclusion to be written properly. 6. References not given in a uniform format.	Effectuated revision

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	