

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_121827
Title of the Manuscript:	"Evaluating the Storage Stability of Ready-to-Drink Beverages Prepared from Noni and Kokum Extracts"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As per the title of the manuscript it looks sound and the work carried out to test the shelf life of prepared beverage of noni and kokum blends adds more supporting information from stability point of view. Rather than this nutritional composition of noni and kokum further boosts its applicability from the health point of view.	Yes
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	ok
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes subsections of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Very few points need to be revised like table count in the manuscript, references need to be checked once in text as well as reference section, at some points typographical errors need to be removed	Updated
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes references are sufficient and recent except few ones	ok
Minor REVISION comments		ok
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes language is suitable for communication	
Optional/General comments	Overall manuscript covers approximately all the points as per the title. References are also arranged properly. Only I find a point that is tables in the manuscript which is around 15 in numbers. It needs to be reduced or present in different way by reducing count of tables	Since it is storage studies so for each parameter one table accommodated in order to make clear for readers

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	