

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_129600
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ORGANIC FERTILIZERS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF GARLIC (Allium Sativum L.) IN OBIO AKPA, AKWA IBOM STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Garlic cultivation is yet to meet its demand in the sub-Saharan Africa including Nigeria. The research team has collected interested data that can help policy development in the sector. However, the manuscript needs to be worked upon before it is published for proper dissemination of the work. It is an exciting research.	Thanks for the comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	Thanks for the comments.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is comprehensive. I suggest the abstract be recast to meet the journal standards. Words must not exceed 300. Please notice that you have 302 words. Also redo the section on the materials and methods and also summarise the results included	Thanks for the comments.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	Thanks for the comments.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More references should be included in your materials and methods. Replace the few old references that I have indicated. Some old references in the soil analyses are ok since the represent standard methods	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, however, the adjustments and corrections indicated must be effected and also it is important to screen the paper again for the many grammatical errors and spellings.	
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE YOUR ATTACHMENT	Thanks for the comments. Noted and revised.

PART 2:		
	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	