

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_128761
Title of the Manuscript:	A new look at the Great Red Spot of Jupiter
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript titled "A New Look at the Great Red Spot of Jupiter" holds significant importance for the scientific community as it promises to offer fresh insights into one of the most iconic and enduring features of our solar system. By revisiting the Great Red Spot, a massive storm that has persisted for centuries, the study could deepen our understanding of planetary weather systems, atmospheric dynamics, and long-term climate behavior on gas giants like Jupiter. This research could also help refine models of planetary atmospheres, contributing to broader comparative planetary science, including our understanding of exoplanetary systems.	Thanks for the comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Is Ok.	Thanks for the comments.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Is Ok, the abstract reflect a clear view of the whole research.	Thanks for the comments.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent.	Thanks for the comments.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Is Ok, the language sentences and statements flow well.	Thanks for the comments.
Optional/General comments	The authors are consistent in addressing what is to be solving and they have achieved it. No plagiarism is suspected	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	