

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_128761
Title of the Manuscript:	A new look at the Great Red Spot of Jupiter
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>The study advances new hypotheses about the Great Red Spot of Jupiter and advances parallels with the internal dynamics of pine forest Earth, in a kind of co-evolution.</p> <p>The title of the research is relevant and anticipates the content of the paper, which is written in a technical manner and intended for specialized readers. The abstract, as a whole summarizes the content of the paper, but regarding the keywords, the authors (or the author) may be adding others, perhaps in reference to the vortex motions and the analogy of the hypothesized mechanism with the Earth. The topic under study, clear in exposition, is treated is adherent to the journal. It is suggested that the authors should concern the spelling and some distractions, such as the insertion of some dots in the text, “streamfunction,” “snd,” etc. Also, the reference “Intl. Astron and Astrophys. Res J.”?</p> <p>The publication of the study, as a whole innovative and scientifically relevant to future sectoral research, is approved.</p>	<p>Thanks for the comments.</p> <p>Noted and revised as per the suggestions</p>

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	