

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_129662
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Participation of the School Community in Overcoming the Primary Schools Pregnancy Problem in Morogoro Municipality, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**  
This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:  
<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**  
Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This topic is critical as it addresses one major challenge to several communities around the education of girls. Teenage pregnancy disrupts the life of both girls and their families and needs strategic approaches to mitigate or remedy the effects and impact.	I concur with the reviewer's comments and in addition it will help the government in collaboration with other educational stakeholders (school community in general to manage the problem. Also, other researchers can do more research in other communities in Tanzania and even Africa for the management of school girls' pregnancies
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is okay but can be refined to read as: Assessment of community engagement in management /mitigation of primary school teenage pregnancy. Teenage pregnancy cannot be overcome-that is my core argument but can be mitigated/reduced in some ways with effective strategies	I buy the word Managing instead of overcoming and the title will be: Assessment of Participation of the School Community in <b>Managing</b> the Primary School Pregnancy Problem in Morogoro Municipality, Tanzania
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract could have included more information on community involvement specific activities (one /two) and also how the Tanzanian government can be involved-quick notes from the findings.	I have included the suggested information in the original manuscript
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is correct but will need more language editing to have a good final draft. Provide more statistics to describe the extent of the problem being assessed.	I gave an expert of language to pass through and did it. Concerning more statistics as per the source which is Basic Education Statistics in Tanzania (Best) are exhausted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are very recent but the writer should have provided more insights from other studies within the region and globally to provide an in-depth analysis of the situation and mitigation strategies. I don't think we can overcome teenage pregnancy but we can reduce the numbers using effective community strategies.	I have taken suggestions as it is no longer overcoming instead managing and references have come from different places globally
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable but may benefit more from professional language editing of the manuscript.	Taken to consideration
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This is a good study which would have benefited from a focus on three communities. Five is too much for this manuscript. Review other similar studies to enrich your study so you have rich perspectives of the study focus.	I thank you for the advice concerning using three communities but as per the study I still stand to use five communities and I have suggested more similar study to be conducted in other communities to enrich rich perspectives of the study focus

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	