

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_129662
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Participation of the School Community in Overcoming the Primary Schools Pregnancy Problem in Morogoro Municipality, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Contributions to the global body of research on adolescent pregnancy, Enhanced comprehension of community involvement in adolescent pregnancy prevention, Implications for public policy and Development of intervention programs.	I take it positively and argue more similar studies should be conducted in different places for policymakers and education stakeholders can get help from the study like this
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Clear and Concise	Thanks, but I have made some minor changes and it is: Assessment of Participation of the School Community in Managing the Primary School Pregnancy Problem in Morogoro Municipality, Tanzania
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Improved with some additions and minor revisions for clarity and impact: research gap, Briefly mention the data analysis methods used. For example: "Data were analyzed using thematic analysis and content analysis.". highlight the most significant findings.	The suggestions have been considered in the original manuscript
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Ethical Considerations: Explicitly state the ethical considerations and how they were addressed (e.g., informed consent, confidentiality)	It has been considered in the origin manuscript I real appreciate your comment
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Look for articles published in academic journals related to education, public health, or social work that address adolescent pregnancy and community participation in Tanzania or similar contexts.	The suggested academic journals have been included especially of education related as the article is focusing much on education impact to the girls rather than health impact although it has been discussed and it is another area to study as a research gap

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>needs significant improvement to be suitable for scholarly communication. Here's a breakdown of the issues:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sentence structure: Some sentences are overly long and complex, making them difficult to understand. 2. Subject-verb agreement: Errors in subject-verb agreement are present. 3. Tense inconsistency: The tense used throughout the text is inconsistent, which can confuse the reader. 4. Article usage: Incorrect or missing articles (a, an, the) are frequent 5. Passive voice overuse: The passive voice is overused, making the writing less direct and engaging. 6. Wordiness: The text could be more concise and to the point <p>Recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Professional editing: Consider having the manuscript professionally edited by a native English speaker with experience in academic writing 	<p>I have considered all the comments by editing the manuscript specifically and generally</p>
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript has the potential to make a valuable contribution to the literature on adolescent pregnancy and community engagement. By addressing the identified areas for improvement, the authors can significantly enhance the quality and impact of their research</p>	<p>It has been considered and the significance and impact of the research has been stated in the recommendations and conclusion</p>

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	