

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_129662
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Participation of the School Community in Overcoming the Primary Schools Pregnancy Problem in Morogoro Municipality, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**  
This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:  
<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**  
Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>  
**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The piece points to redressing the issue regarding Poverty Alleviation, as stipulated in SDG1. It throws light on achieving the World That We Wnnt.	Thanks for the positive comment and appreciate and I recommend more studies to be done in this area
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	School community participation in overcoming pregnancy problem in primary schools: An assessment of Morogoro Municipality, Tanzania  The above is my suggested title. Thank you.	Thank you for the comment but I have made a minor correction considering other comments from other reviewers. The title will be: Assessment of Participation of the School Community in <b>Managing</b> the Primary <b>School</b> Pregnancy Problem in Morogoro Municipality, Tanzania
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive.	Thank you for the comment although I have added some inputs due to the comments from other reviewers
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It is good. However, the data reported falls short.	I have taken it thanks for the comment, data has already been corrected for the next study enough data can be corrected
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, please. The piece covers both old (seminal) and current data.	Thank you for the comments although few references have been added

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, please. However, the introductory language is a bit “loose” to meet the academic tone.	I have taken the comment seriously and tried to strengthen the language in the introductory part
Optional/General comments	<div>1. The introduction is very long.</div> <div>2. There is neither a subsection as a Literature Review nor Contextualising the case of Morogoro. This is expected if this is indeed a Qualitative Case Study.</div> <div>3. What makes Morogoro very special for this study? Why is the study focused on the Morogoro School Community? Why is the case special? Kindly bring all these out. Problematised the problem or case. Thank you.</div> <div>4. Be keen about intext referencing. Many strong statements aren't referenced in the text.</div> <div>5. The sub-headings under the introduction, which could be a literature review or contextualising the case, are not captured under the purpose or objectives of the study. Kindly do that.</div> <div>6. Qualitative study must capture the ‘study site’, ‘methods’, and ‘limitations and validity’ under the methodology part of the paper in detail. This is called ‘Thick Description’. Kindly do that.</div> <div>7. Your abstract shows that this is a qualitative case study. The qualitative study gathers data mainly from Interviews or Focus Group Discussions. However, no data was shown from these data collection tools in the study. Kindly attach them.</div> <div>8. There are no ethical issues raised in the paper. How gate keepers were contacted are not reported. Nothing concerning secrecy and confidentiality to respondents are shown. Kindly add them to the work.</div>	<div>1. The introduction has been shortened by separating it with a literature review</div> <div>2. Thanks for the comment I have introduced the literature review section</div> <div>3. I have explained in the manuscript why Morogoro is the case study</div> <div>4. I have noted the comment. Thank you</div> <div>5. I have captured the heading of the literature review and so on</div> <div>6. The comment has been considered in the manuscript. Thank you</div> <div>7. comment number 7 yes, the qualitative case study has been applied to data analysis</div> <div>8. Ethical issues (secrecy and confidentiality to respondents) have been captured as suggested early by another reviewer. All the primary schools used a simple, no anyone with gatekeeper as most of the primary schools in Tanzania have no gate keepers instead matrons and patrons were the representatives</div>

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	