

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_128964
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRITIVE EFFECTS OF CALCIUM CARBONATE (CaCO3) ON SOME COMMERCIAL TRAITS OF MULBERRY SILKWORM, Bombyx mori L.
Type of the Article	journal

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The subject is very important for farmers producing silk. It is also worth for scientific community to address the untouched corners as to the subject. The result will undoubtedly contribute the betterment of livelihoods of mankind.	Thanks to the reviewer for positive comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable and may be as option: “NUTRITIVE EFFECTS OF CALCIUM CARBONATE (CaCO ₃) ON SELECTED COMMERCIAL TRAITS OF MULBERRY SILKWORM, Bombyx mori L.”	Thank for valuable comments. The title has been changed as suggested by the reviewer.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract comprehensive but can be improved by more clarifying the steps.	Thanks to the reviewer for positive comments.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Scientifically correct with possible improvement of the methodology.	Thanks to the reviewer for positive comments.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Thanks to the reviewer for positive comments.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	Thanks to the reviewer for positive comments.
Optional/General comments	In some cases line groups would have been better to show the results than histogram.	Thank for valuable comments. But depending on the comments of both reviewers, we keep the results unchanged.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	