

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_129625
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk factors for death among patients hospitalised for Covid-19 in Guinea (2020-2022)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is very important for scientific community in countries where covid caused significant devastation. It will help to identify risk factors for covid.	Thanks for the comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is comprehensive but some addition of HRCT chest findings would be better.	Thanks for the comments.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes it is correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes sufficient and new	Thanks for the comments.

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Generally a worthy article	Thanks for the comments.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	