

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_129625
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk factors for death among patients hospitalised for Covid-19 in Guinea (2020-2022)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study focuses on the Republic of Guinea, a country that may have distinct demographic, healthcare, and socio-economic characteristics compared to other regions, that may influence the outcome of COVID-19 patients. It also helps in Expanding knowledge based on COVID-19 mortality and identification of highrisk factors. Early identification of patients at risk and targeted care. Formulating and upgrading the health care policy etc	Thanks for the comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggested title "Risk Factors for Mortality in Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients: A Retrospective Study from the Republic of Guinea.	This suggestion has been taken into account in the second version of the manuscript.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract needs improvements for better clarity and consistency, including proper formatting of statistical data (such as p-values and confidence intervals) Furthermore, the descriptions of symptoms and comorbidities should be revised for better flow, with key findings presented more clearly and accurately. (see Manuscript for corrections)	This suggestion has been taken into account in the second version of the manuscript.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	1. Riziki Ghislain, Manimani MSc ^{a,*} ; Muzumbukilwa, Willy Tambwe MSc ^b ; Magula, Nombulelo PhD ^a . Risk factors for death in hospitalized COVID-19 patients in Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine 102(35):p e34405, September 01, 2023 2. Risk factors and a novel score (CARI-65) predicting mortality in COVID-19 patients Mantoo S et al. IJRC.2019 3. Dessie ZG, Zewotir T. Mortality-related risk factors of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 42 studies and 423,117 patients. BMC Infect Dis. 2021 Aug 21;21(1)	This suggestion has been taken into account in the second version of the manuscript

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The overall manuscript contains several grammatical errors, and unclear sentence structures, which affect its clarity and flow. To meet the standards for scholarly communication, improve clarity by restructuring sentences, and ensure precise use of scientific terminology and statistical reporting (Pointed out in Manuscript). Revise Methodology, results and Discussion. Add more updated and relevant references (take care of journal policies also)	This suggestion has been taken into account in the second version of the manuscript
Optional/General comments	needs major revisions of all sections of the manuscript PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	This suggestion has been taken into account in the second version of the manuscript.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	