

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOGER_130302
Title of the Manuscript:	COMMUNITY PERCEPTION IN NUSANTARA CAPITAL'S PARTNER AREA: CULTURAL VILLAGE LUNG ANAI, LOA KULU, KUTAI KARTANEGARA DISTRICT, EAST KALIMANTAN, INDONESIA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a well-written manuscript that can be revised to provide useful research data for planning. The three issues need to be addressed are: data description is in English that does not make it readable by the international community. There is no discussion whether data collected by this research is aligned with the previous research findings Third, data itself is not provided that makes it difficult for the reader.	Thanks for the comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Review has suggested a slight change. See tract-change	Thanks for the comments.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	With slight revision, it treads well	Thanks for the comments.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes it is.. followed the correct, methodology	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient but there is no integration of references with findings of this study. To what extent study findings are aligned with previous literature is not addressed	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	This is very good. Minor editorial changes are needed	Thanks for the comments.
Optional/General comments	Well written paper that should be accepted for publication after incorporating comments of the reviewer	Noted and thanks

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	