

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_129676
Title of the Manuscript:	Bovine trypanosomiasis in the peri-urban zone of Bamako (Mali): what is the status report in the context of increasing livestock numbers?
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has clearly and convincingly presented the statement of the problem and has been shown to be worthwhile. The direction of the study has also been made clear by the authors.	Thank you for your proofreading, for the many comments and suggestions. To follow your recommendations, many changes have been made to the manuscript to make the text and methods clearer to the reader.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable except with little adjustment as seen in the authors feedback  Bovine Trypanosomiasis in the peri-urban zone of Bamako (Mali): status report in the context of increasing livestock numbers	As suggested, we modified the title. The new title as: "Bovine Trypanosomiasis in the peri-urban zone of Bamako (Mali): status report in the context of increasing livestock numbers"
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract was well written and captured the relevant segments except with a few sentences that needed to be clarified by the authors as highlighted in the original manuscript.  The sentence A total of 312 cattle of different breeds were monitored and 7622 blood samples were examined using the Woo technique needed to be clarified.	As suggested, the sentences has been re-written.  Longitudinal monitoring was carried out on 312 cattle, and spot samples were taken from these cattle, resulting in 7622 samples being taken.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The authors should go through the manuscript all over again, this is because some reference were cited but not listed in the references list as highlighted in the manuscript.	You are right, the references has been added in the references list.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The writing is clear, coherent and interesting. The grammar, spellings and punctuation are appropriate.	Thank you for your proofreading
Optional/General comments	The manuscript was okay, but the methodology needed to be work upon and information such as ethical clearance, how the sample size was arrived at and the method of diagnosis used should be discuss in details.  The authors declare no competing interests.  PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	OK, as suggested, this part has been developed.

[Review Form 3](#)

**PART 2:**

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	OK, following your recommendation, the requested corrections have been made.