

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_130015
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and Perception of HealthCare Workers on Antibiotic Resistance and Predictors of Antibiotic Prescription Pattern in Benue State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer 's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript involves multidisciplinary health care workers serving in government health facilities only where there is a huge burden of patients visit as well as highest frequency of antibiotic prescription. The site of study Benue state with highest number of HIV have been selected which again compels for higher prescription of antibiotic, which is one of the challenging parts of the manuscript too. With other resource scarce nations, similar outcomes could be expected as well as measures could be taken before and to reduce the irrational prescription of antibiotics This study could also be later compared with developed nations.	I agree with reviewer 's comment
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Based on the results of the study, I would suggest slight modification in the title as: Knowledge and Perception of Healthcare Workers on Antibiotic Resistance and predictors of Antibiotic Prescription in Benue State, Nigeria.	The topic had been changed to Knowledge and perception of Health Care Workers on Antibiotic Resistance and Predictors of Antibiotic Prescription in Benue State Nigeria.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	AIM: As the earth continues to warm (its not relevant here). Conclusion: can be revised, to meet the objective of the study, as its vague in here, could be more specific. However, it is comprehensive	All the issues raised here had been addressed
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript needs to be revised for its correctness in language and present tense used in few sections which needs to be in past tense. Few words have been repeated. Use of symbols (, .) needs to be revised throughout. Overall, the study is scientifically robust with slight technical corrections.	The language issues had been addressed
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references, could have been decreased to approx.. 30. The font needs to be made uniform for all the references. However, there are recent references.	However, the total reference is now 39 in numbers with additional current references
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	With minor corrections throughout the manuscript, the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There is no ethical issues