

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJESS_129918 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Research on the Cognitive Level of Preservice Mathematics Teachers Towards the "Pseudo-Understanding Phenomenon" |
| Type of the Article | EMPIRICAL ARTICLE |

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript is relevant to the current situation of teaching and learning Mathematics in most parts of the world. Now adays, it is obvious that students used to forget the Mathematics taught in class immediately the teachers step out of the class. This research will give a contribution. | Thank you for your comments. |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Cognitive Level of Preservice Mathematics Teachers Towards the "Pseudo-Understanding Phenomenon" | Thank you for your comments, we have modified. |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The Abstract is comprehensive; however, it should include the research methodology | Thank you for your comments, we have modified. |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Yes, it is | Thank you for your comments. |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Out of 28 references 24 were outdated that is, they were older than 5years. | Thank you for your suggestions. We have removed some outdated references and updated some of the documents. However, some of the references are of great significance to the article, and the things cited are still convincing to this day. |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes, the language is communicable | Thanks. |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | The paper is good and it will give significant contribution to literature | Thank you for your comments. |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |