

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_128937
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING THE LEVELS OF HEAVY METALS IN SOIL: A CASE STUDY OF AHOADA WEST LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA IN RIVERS STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important of scientific community because this research helps know the real situation of heavy metal concentrations in study area. This result helps to evaluate of the surrounding of the area and it can identify environmental pollution and assess the risks to human health.	Thanks
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	Ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. I suggest removing the “hypothesis” part.	Thanks revised.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references is sufficient and recent.	Ok
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	1. Data repeated in Tables 4, 5, 6 are requested for necessary action. 2. Different types of units are used in table and discussion. Please write it same format.	Noted and revised.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	