

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_130367
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Impacts of Work Accidents and Occupational Diseases: The Deep Economic Cost of the Invisible Burden
Type of the Article	Policy Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper tackles the multi-level economic implications of occupational accidents and disorders, a crucial but frequently overlooked topic in economic research. Policymakers, employers, and public health professionals can benefit from its analysis of direct and indirect costs and its practical preventive remedies. Occupational health and safety economics has greatly benefited from its multidisciplinary approach, which integrates economic, sociological, and psychological aspects.	Thank you for your encouraging comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate since it conveys the manuscript's scope and main points clearly. It draws attention to the economic aspect of the topic and emphasizes its wide-ranging ramifications.	Thank you. We have changed it partly due to other reviewer comment.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is thorough but may require minor enhancement. A more systematic explanation of the findings and their consequences would enhance clarity, despite outlining the important areas covered, including definitions, costs, and recommendations. For example, highlighting the statistical results and suggested solutions succinctly would enhance its effectiveness.	Thank you. We made some changes.
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and well-researched. The use of established theories such as Heinrich's Domino Theory and the Swiss Cheese Model provides a strong theoretical foundation. However, incorporating recent statistical data (beyond the cited 2013 references) could further strengthen the argument.	We have added new data to manuscript.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and include seminal works. Nevertheless, the addition of more recent studies (post-2015) on occupational health and economic impacts would provide a more current perspective.	We have added new data to manuscript.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is adequate for scholarly communication.	Thank you for your encouraging comments.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript demonstrates extensive research and methodological rigor. Including case studies or examples from various industries or countries could make the discussion more relatable and grounded.	Thank you for your encouraging comments.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	The study is a literature review. So there are no experiment or field study.