

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_130367
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Impacts of Work Accidents and Occupational Diseases: The Deep Economic Cost of the Invisible Burden
Type of the Article	Policy Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors investigate the cost of work-related accidents and diseases, and after that, the research recommends some basic precautions to avoid these costs. However, many of the studies in the literature review have studied the same research topic analysis and addressed similar findings and valuable recommendations to avoid these costs. Why did the authors conduct this study again? The research topic is very weak. Please kindly explain and update the manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should include the research limitations and key findings. Please kindly update the manuscript.	Noted and Revised
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is not because the introduction lacks research questions and objectives. Moreover, it lacked a clear methodology to support research objectives. Please kindly explain and update the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient.	Noted and Revised
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	The introduction should not be the same as what is abstract. The research should include a new methodology figure with a thorough explanation to support the clear research objectives stated in the introduction. The authors should conduct a survey with experts to validate research findings and reccomendations.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i>	