

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_128897
Title of the Manuscript:	FOREIGN EXCHANGE AND THE PERMAFORMANCE OF MANUFACTURING SECTOR IN NIGERIA: A DISAGGRAGATED ANAYLSIS
Type of Article :	RESEARCH PAPER

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The paper did well by looking the Nigerian economy and how the exchange volatility could affect the manufacturing sector. It is important to note that the Nigerian market constitute a major component of the African market especially in West Africa and was expected for the author to use this opportunity to give bring introduction on the behaviour of the entirety of the African market. This would have given a clear reasoning by the readership. Again, the problem statement was shortfall to justify the present study. It merely focused on projected view of the fundamental economic weaknesses that the country generally faces without recourse to external forces that are experienced in Africa. Under the literature review, different fonts and types were used with no or very few scholarly citations but citations from the report of the Central Bank of Nigeria and this should be seriously looked at. Methodology is very key in academic writing and need to be carefully written. The following were observed and have to be worked on to add value to the paper.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data used was from 1999-2021 from secondary sources (CBN)-the author(s) failed to justify why this particular period was used instead of others such as 2000-2023, 1997-2023 etc. 2. The period used in measuring the performance of the Nigeria firms may not be realistic because of the post impact of COVID-19 and the Russian-Ukraine war that have serious devastating impact in Africa. 3. It is also worth noting that OLSRA would not be able to clearly link the behaviour of some variables that are not dominant in the model, and I recommend that PLS-SEM be used for the analysis. 4. The formatting has to be done again. 5. Different font types and sizes are used in various sections of the paper. 6. The issue of justifying the period of study has not been addressed. 7. Author should indicate pages where comments addressed can be found. 	<p>Noted</p> <p>Stated briefly in page 3 statement of the problem</p> <p>The fronts in order now. Thank you</p> <p>Reflected under source of data. Page 11</p> <p>Research Methods have their limitation even PLS-SEM may not exactly capture the behaviour of the some of the variables particularly Availability of FX.</p> <p>Done. Thank you</p>