

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_129331
Title of the Manuscript:	Unveiling Metastasis Through Pericardial Effusion: A Clinical Case of Breast Cancer Recurrence
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article highlights the management of a complication of breast cancer chemotherapy and followup. The authors highlight the importance of timely detection and mgt of pericardiac effusion.	Thanks for the comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Yes thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Adequate	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Its correct but lengthy manuscript	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are adequate and recent. However the citations are incomplete interms of details	Noted

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article manuscript can be easily shortened by 50%. Brevity and accuracy require to be balanced.	Thanks

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	