

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_130074
Title of the Manuscript:	VISITOR SATISFACTION OF AGRITOURISM ON THE ISLAND OF LOMBOK, INDONESIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a timely topic within the field of agritourism, focusing on visitor satisfaction in Lombok, Indonesia. Given the growing interest in agritourism as a sustainable development model, this research offers valuable insights into the dynamics of visitor experiences, which can contribute to improving agritourism practices globally. It would be of interest to scholars in tourism studies, sustainable development, and rural economics, as well as practitioners in the agritourism industry.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the manuscript is clear and adequately reflects the focus of the study.	Noted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a general overview of the study's objective, methodology, and key findings.	Noted
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically sound, with appropriate methods for data collection and analysis.	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references cited are generally relevant, but the manuscript would benefit from the inclusion of more recent studies on visitor satisfaction in agritourism and related fields. Only few references included, which may limit the manuscript's relevance to current trends in agritourism research. Inclusion of additional references of recent articles would improve the manuscript's position in the contemporary academic discourse.	Noted
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is generally appropriate for scholarly communication, but some sections could be improved for clarity and precision.	Noted
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	