

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_130062
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Early English Exposure for Rural Left-Behind Children: Integrating Home-Kindergarten Collaboration and Learning Qualities Development
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This paper on "Enhancing Early English Exposure for Rural Left-Behind Children: Integrating Home-Kindergarten Collaboration and Learning Qualities Development" is a publishable one which has the following four areas in terms of issues/contents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>English exposure of children as a foreign/third language.</li> <li>Adult migration and its effect on left-behind children</li> <li>Education system of kindergarten in study area</li> <li>Quality of education</li> </ul>	I have revised
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Looks longer title. It can be shortened in two ways: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>"Enhancing Early English Exposure for Rural Left-Behind Children". The rest "Integrating Home-Kindergarten Collaboration and Learning Qualities Development" can be the elaborative part of the paper.</li> <li>"English Exposure for Rural Left-Behind Children." The rest can be the elaborative part of the paper.</li> </ol> I am suggesting this because the current trend is the short and sweet title.	Thank you for your suggestion regarding shortening the title. While I understand the current trend favors concise and impactful titles, I am unable to shorten the title in this case. This is because the funding project explicitly requires the title to reflect the full scope of the study, including "Enhancing Early English Exposure for Rural Left-Behind Children: Integrating Home-Kindergarten Collaboration and Learning Qualities Development." The detailed title ensures alignment with the project's objectives and highlights the comprehensive focus of the research, which is essential for meeting the funding requirements.
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rewriting abstract will overcome the repetition of words from the main text but enhancing the content of the paper.</li> <li>Revisiting the key words used below the abstract will ensure that they represent better the content of the whole paper.</li> </ol>	I have revised
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	It's correct and needs some further work to make it more scientific.	I have revised
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Including some literature related to SDGs, goal four would better contextualise the LNOB in the context of left behind children and their education. It is further necessary to establish the epistemological debate of the term LNOB to make the paper more scientific.	I have revised

**Review Form 3**

<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	It's okay.	I have revised
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	