

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_129857
Title of the Manuscript:	Crowdfunding Adoption of Startup Entrepreneurs in Developing Context: An Application of the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology Model
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<div>Abstract Suitable, well-articulated</div> <div>Introduction Citations required for statements, highlighted in the document.</div> <div>Literature Appropriate, however, cite the source for highlighted texts and claims.</div> <div>Method Appropriate, however, citations required for the statements/claim statements. Highlighted in the text. Use the purposive sample was selected.....</div> <div>Data Appropriate</div> <div>Findings Ok</div> <div>References References are updated, relevant and adequate for the study.</div> <div>Discussion Appropriate.</div> <div>Conclusion Supported by data in manuscript and appropriate.</div>	<div>Thank you.</div> <div>Comments given in the manuscript have been addressed.</div>

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	