

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_129543
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Influencing Use of Social Network Among University Students in Tanzania: A Case of Mzumbe University, Morogoro
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The concept is good but the way this study is carried out is pathetic and disappointing	Improved. The results of the study advance our knowledge of how digital tools can be used to improve professional development and academic collaboration while reducing related dangers. The study also highlights the significance of institutional support and context-specific regulations, which makes it a helpful resource for researchers, educators, and policymakers who want to maximize the use of social networks for learning.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Since it's a case study, it's also better to mention it in the title. The proposed title is  "Factors Influencing Use of Social Network Among University Students: A Case Study of Mzumbe University, Morogoro, Tanzania"	This is noted and the title is improved.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is complete and comprehensive, covering all points of the research however multiple spelling errors and grammatical mistakes are there such as Networks not network Students not student Simple random not simple rando Professional opportunities, not professional opportunities	Observed and corrected.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No In the abstract, it is mentioned as a mixed method research while in methodology it is stated that this study was guided by a case study design  Quantitative data analysis is there while qualitative data analysis is missing	It is improved. Combination of qualitative and quantitative approaches, strengthen the validity of its conclusions.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not at all No latest references are available, only the references till 2014 are mentioned while there are multiple studies available after 2014 that can be cited in this study.	Improved and reference updated to 2024 studies.

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>No</b>  <b>This draft is full of errors and spelling mistakes, moreover English quality is not suitable for scholarly communication</b></p>	<p>Improved.</p>
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b></p>	<p><b>Major revisions are required for the literature review, Methodology, data analysis, conclusion, and recommendations</b></p>	<p>Done.</p>

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	<p>The Mzumbe University Research Ethics and Publication Committee granted ethical clearance for the study, while the Mzumbe University authority granted permission. Employees were given consent before data collection, with the right to withdraw at any time. The collected data was used solely for the study, and they were informed of their rights during consenting. Confidentiality was observed on all information whereby it was used for academic purposes, and anonymity was considered on all personal respondents' information.</p>