

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AIR _127773
Title of the Manuscript:	Circular Economy towards Sustainable Development: A Review of U.S., E.U., and China’s Polices
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper offers an insight into comparative analysis of the strategies used by three of the world's largest economies to promote circular economy solutions. The article reflected the most up to date notion of sustainable development, which is UN SDGs. In the study, policy frameworks and their effects are examined to reveal major trends towards sustainable development. This makes the review particularly important for the scientific community as the authors highlighted the most effective working practices, discussed policy deficiencies, and presented a new way for developing universally coordinated approaches.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is accurate, relevant, specific as well as satisfactorily contextualize the content.	OK
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive, giving the concise picture of the study conducted.	Done
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the article is scientifically well written. It provided a systematic analysis of the role of circular economy in the promotion of sustainable development. The work is well organized and based on a robust literature review, making it logical, full of evidence, and in tune with the modern global discussion concerning sustainability and circular economy.	Revised
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references cited in the article are enough and it used WoS and Scopus database sources.	ok
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the article is appropriate for scholarly communication and as such meets the recommended standards of writing.	
Optional/General comments	The quality of the article can be improved if the author includes, limitation of the study and scope for future studies. Originality of the work: Acceptable Subject Relevance: Good Organization of the Manuscript: Good	Thanks

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	