

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_130117
Title of the Manuscript:	Extraction and Characterization of Pectin from Ripe Mango ( <i>Mangifera indica</i> ) Peel
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This manuscript presents valuable insights into the extraction and characterization of pectin from ripe mango peel (<i>Mangifera indica</i>), a widely available agricultural by-product.</li> <li>2. The study contributes to the growing body of research on sustainable utilization of fruit waste, providing an eco-friendly alternative to conventional sources of pectin.</li> <li>3. The findings have significant implications for the food, pharmaceutical, and cosmetic industries, where pectin is used as a gelling agent, stabilizer, and emulsifier.</li> <li>4. Furthermore, by exploring the potential of mango peel as a source of pectin, this research offers a novel approach to reducing food waste and promoting resource efficiency, thus advancing both scientific knowledge and sustainable practices.</li> </ol>	Noted
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes</li> </ul>	Thanks
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes, add purpose of study in abstract</li> </ul>	Added
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The materials and methods were clearly outlined, following established research protocols. The results and discussion were presented with comprehensive analysis, backed by relevant citations from pertinent studies, offering a strong basis for interpreting and comparing the findings.</li> </ul>	Noted and revised
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No, Include recent literature up to the year of 2024</li> </ul>	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No, Check and modify the sentence as per the grammar.</li> </ul>	Corrected
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Follow the standard procedure to present the cited author in a manuscript Eg: <i>et al.</i>, 2025 or <i>et al.</i> (2025)</li> <li>• Check every line and correct. Follow the basic presentation of the paragraph</li> <li>• Mango scientific name must be <i>Italie</i></li> </ul>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	