

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_129967</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE RETENTION IN ANTIRETROVIRAL THERAPY AND ITS ASSOCIATED FACTORS AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN IN GAMBORU MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH CLINIC (MCH), MAIDUGURI, NIGERIA.</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	although the research topic is/would be an interesting one, the focus is too narrow and the result has the potential to mislead the general population. secondly a lot has changed in HIV management and the interventions that are in place. THEREFORE 7 years down the line, issues are not the same. how I wish the study employed latest data between 2020 to 2024!!!! but 2015 is way too back. I am saying this because conclusions drawn from this study may not be relevant in 2025.	Thanks for the commnets
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>The title is lengthy. Again (as also indicated in track changes on the manuscript) it can be easily revised</b>	Thanks for the commnets
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The lay out of the abstract has some problems as it lacks concise statements of actions in methodology, conclusion and recommendation.</b>	Thanks for the commnets. noted
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	This is so narrow in scope as the issues that lead to poor retention into care are so immense and require considerable depth to fully explore them.  Again, I am saying this because conclusions drawn from this study may not be relevant in 2025 and may raise a lot of questions from the field of HIV AND AIDS programming..	Noted and added
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	This section is either incomplete or poorly done.	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript needs to be written in the past tense not present or future tense as has been the case in this manuscript.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p><b>The author should seriously consider the following:</b></p> <p>REVISE THE TITLE ALTOGETHER, AS HAS BEEN SUGGESTED IN MANUSCRIPT</p> <p>THE PROBLEM STATEMENT AND HYPOTHESIS SHOULD COME OUT CLEAR</p> <p>THE AUTHOR SHOULD ACCEPT OR REJECT THE HYPOTHESIS IN THEIR CONCLUSION. AND THE STUDY RECOMMENDATIONS SHOULD BE BASED ON THE EXACT FINDINGS NOT BEING DISCUSSED IN GENERAL TERMS</p> <p>THE STATISTICAL ANALYSIS SECTION IS VERY WEAK. IT HAS WEAKENED THE WHOLE MANUSCRIPT. IT IS LIKE A TEXT BOOK STORY AND NOT REFLECTING WHAT THE AUTHOR DID</p> <p>PROVIDE A DIAGRAM SHOWING ELIGIBILITY AND SAMPLING PROCEDURES UNDER METHODOLOGY.</p> <p>SELECT AT LEAST AN APPROPRIATE MODEL OF HEALTH BEHAVIOUR CHANGE AND FRAME THE DISCUSSION AROUND THE SELECTED MODEL.</p> <p>THE AUTHOR SHOULD AVOID STORY TELLING OR PROVIDING TEXTS FROM BOOKS WHEN DESCRIBING HIS/HER METHODOLOGY OR DATA ANALYSIS</p> <p><b>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</b></p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	