

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_129939
Title of the Manuscript:	Dissemination of carbapenemases in Brazil: an integrative review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Study focuses on the prevalence of CR organisms isolated and genes distributed in different regions of Brazil which helps to understand the incidence in this region. As carbapenem are higher drugs that need to be monitored for treatment in patients, the present study helps in understanding CRO in this area.	Dear Reviewer, I would like to express my gratitude for the valuable considerations and suggestions you provided on my manuscript. Your detailed considerations were essential to improve the quality of the work. I especially appreciate your comments on the suggestion to implement topics related to isolated CR and genes distributed in different regions of Brazil, these considerations gave a new perspective and helped me to clarify important aspects of the research. Your dedication and attention to detail did not go unnoticed, and I am sure that the improvements resulting from your contributions will further enrich the article. I thank you for the time and effort you invested in the review.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please focus on other resistance genes available and add those too in the abstract	I would like to express my gratitude for the valuable considerations and suggestions you provided on my manuscript. Your detailed considerations were essential to improve the quality of the work. I especially appreciate your comments on the suggestion to implement additional resistance genes in the abstract. Your contributions are not only valuable but also extremely relevant to the understanding and approach of this important topic in microbiology and public health. Your dedication and attention to detail have not gone unnoticed, and I am certain that the improvements resulting from your contributions will further enrich the article. I thank you for the time and effort you invested in the review.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	