Comparative Study on Growth, Yield and Economics of Potato Production Propagated through Apical Rooted Cuttings

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the comparative growth, yield and economic performance of potato production propagated through apical rooted cuttings (ARCs) in the Northern Transitional Zone of Karnataka. Eight genotypes, including KufriJyoti, Kufri Lima and KufriSangam, were analyzed for key growth parameters such as plant height, number of primary shoots, number of compound leaves, stem diameter and tuber yield. Results showed that tuber propagation consistently outperformed ARCs, with KufriJyoti (tuber) recording the highest plant height (46.82 cm), number of tubers per plant (6.80) and total yield per hectare (16.45 t/ha). Among ARC-propagated genotypes, Kufri Lima performed best, with a yield of 9.56 t/ha. Economic analysis revealed that KufriJyoti (tuber) also achieved the highest net returns (₹ 256,146.72/ha) and benefit-cost ratio (2.65). While ARCs offer cost savings on seed material, they showed limitations in overall yield and profitability, particularly in genotypes like Kufri Karan. These findings provide crucial insights into optimizing potato production practices.

INTRODUCTION

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is one of the most widely cultivated and economically important food crops in the world, playing a crucial role in food security and the livelihoods of millions of farmers. In India, potato cultivation has expanded significantly, contributing to both subsistence and commercial agriculture. However, the traditional method of potato propagation

through seed tubers comes with challenges such as high seed cost, susceptibility to diseases and the bulkiness of seed tubers, which makes storage and transportation difficult. To address these challenges, alternative propagation methods like apical rooted cuttings (ARCs) have been introduced, offering potential advantages in reducing seed costs and improving disease management.

Apical rooted cuttings are gaining attention as a viable alternative to tuber propagation due to their cost-effectiveness and potential to reduce disease transmission. Unlike seed tubers, ARCs involve using vegetative parts of the plant that are rooted and transplanted into the field. This method allows farmers to reduce the quantity of seed material required, thereby lowering input costs. Additionally, ARCs offer the potential for faster multiplication rates, making it easier for farmers to access high-quality planting material. However, despite these advantages, there is still limited research on how different potato genotypes perform when propagated through ARCs compared to traditional seed tubers in terms of growth, yield and economic returns.

This comparative study aims to evaluate the growth, yield and economics of various potato genotypes propagated through apical-rooted cuttings and seed tubers. By analyzing key parameters such as plant height, number of shoots, tuber yield and marketable yield, this study seeks to determine the viability of ARCs as an alternative propagation method. Furthermore, the study will assess the economic implications of using ARCs versus seed tubers, providing valuable insights for farmers and stakeholders in optimizing potato production practices to improve productivity and profitability.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at the E-Block, Main Agricultural Research Station (MARS), Dharwad, during the Kharif season of 2023 to evaluate the performance of potato genotypes propagated through apical rooted cuttings (ARCs). The experimental setup followed a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. Eight potato genotypes were studied,

including KufriJyoti, Kufri Lima, Kufri Chipsona-4 and Kufri Sangam, propagated through ARCs, while KufriJyoti propagated through tubers served as the check. The experimental plots were established with a spacing of 60 x 20 cm and each plot measured 3 m x 3 m.

For growth parameter analysis, key observations like plant height, the number of primary shoots, the diameter of the main stem and the number of compound leaves were recorded. Five plants were randomly selected and tagged in each plot and data were collected at different growth stages to assess the performance of each genotype. The tuber weight, average tuber weight and number of tubers per plant were measured after harvesting. All these parameters were used to assess the impact of different propagation methods on the vegetative and reproductive growth of potato plants.

Yield and economic data were also recorded post-harvest. Total tuber yield per plot and marketable yield per plot and hectare were calculated. The economic analysis involved determining the net returns and benefit-cost (B:C) ratio based on the cost of cultivation and marketable produce. The statistical analysis was performed using ANOVAto test the significance of differences between genotypes and propagation methods for each recorded parameter.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The plant height, number of shoots per plant, number of compound leaves per plant, diameter of main stem, number of tubers per plant, weight of tubers per plant, average weight of tuber per plant, total yield per plot, total yield per hectare, marketable yield per plot, marketable yield per hectare, gross returns, net returns and benefit-cost ratio (B:C ratio) for several potato genotypes were calculated. The data regarding growth and yield parameters is presented in Table 1, 2 and 3.

Plant Height:

The plant height ranged from 35.24 cm to 46.82 cm. The highest plant height was recorded in KufriJyoti (46.82 cm) propagated through tubers, followed by Kufri Lima (45.97 cm) and KufriSangam (45.56 cm). The lowest height was observed in KufriJyoti, propagated through apical rooted cuttings (35.24 cm). The significant variation in plant height among the potato genotypes highlights the influence of propagation methods on vegetative growth. KufriJyoti, propagated through tubers,recorded the maximum height (46.82 cm), indicating vigorous growth when using traditional seed tubers. This could be attributed to better initial nutrient reserves and root development.

In contrast, KufriJyoti propagated through apical rooted cuttings (ARC), showed the lowest plant height (35.24 cm), which suggests that ARCs may experience slower initial growth due to delayed root establishment. These findings are consistent with previous studies that indicate slower growth in ARC-propagated plants during early stages. Similar variations in plant height with respect to potato genotypes were noticed by Abdalla*et al.* (2022) and Kumar and Amruta (2023).

Number of Primary Shoots Per Plant:

The number of primary shoots varied from 1.89 to 4.99. KufriJyoti propagated through tubers recorded the highest number of shoots (4.99), while the lowest was in KufriJyoti propagated through apical rooted cuttings (1.89). The number of primary shoots per plant is crucial for determining the plant's ability to produce tubers. KufriJyoti propagated through tubers had the highest number of shoots (4.99), reflecting its superior vegetative capacity. However, KufriJyoti propagated through ARCs recorded the lowest (1.89), likely due to limited shoot development in ARCs compared to tubers. This suggests that tuber propagation provides a better environment for shoot initiation due to auxiliary buds and stored energy in the tubers.Similar variations in anumber of primary shoots/plantstopotato genotypes were observed by Ranalli*et al.* (1994) and Rajegowda *et al.* (2021).

Number of Compound Leaves Per Plant:

The number of compound leaves ranged between 25.11 and 41.84. KufriJyoti (41.84) propagated through tubers showed the highest leaf count, on par with Kufri Lima (38.54), while

KufriJyoti propagated through apical rooted cuttings (25.11) recorded the lowest. The number of compound leaves is directly related to the photosynthetic potential of the plant. KufriJyoti (tuber) showed the highest number of compound leaves (41.84), which may have contributed to its higher yield by providing more surface area for photosynthesis. The lower leaf count in KufriJyoti propagated through ARCs (25.11) indicates reduced vegetative growth, which aligns with the slower shoot and root development observed in ARC-propagated plants. These differences suggest that propagation methods significantly affect foliage development.Similar differences in number of compound leaves/plants were reported with respect topotato genotypes by Sharma *et al.* (2020) and Kumar and Singh (2021).

Diameter of Main Stem:

Stem diameter ranged from 0.75 cm to 1.24 cm, with KufriJyoti (tuber) showing the highest diameter (1.24 cm), followed by Kufri Lima (1.19 cm). In comparison,KufriJyoti (ARC) and Kufri Karan (ARC) had the lowest (0.75 cm). The diameter of the main stem reflects the plant's overall strength and vigor.KufriJyoti propagated through tubers had the thickest stem (1.24 cm), indicating robust growth and efficient nutrient transport. In contrast, KufriJyoti and Kufri Karan propagated through ARCs had the thinnest stem (0.75 cm), reflecting weaker structural development. This reinforces the notion that ARC propagation may lead to slower or less vigorous initial growth than tuber propagation.Similar variations in thediameter of themain stem with respect topotato genotypes were observed by Aarakit (2021).

Number of Tubers Per Plant:

The number of tubers per plant varied from 1.93 to 6.80. The highest was recorded in KufriJyoti (6.80) propagated through tubers, while the lowest was observed in Kufri Karan (1.93) propagated through apical rooted cuttings.KufriJyoti (tuber) recorded the highest number of tubers per plant (6.80), demonstrating that tuber propagation promotes superior reproductive growth. The lowest number of tubers was observed in Kufri Karan (1.93) propagated through ARCs, which suggests that ARC propagation may limit tuber formation in some genotypes. This could be due to reduced early growth, affecting the plant's capacity to develop multiple tubers.Similar variations in number of tubers per plant wereobserved by Rajegowda *et al.* (2021), Sharma *et al.* (2020) and Patel and Rao (2019).

Weight of Tubers Per Plant:

Tubers' weight ranged between 70.04 g and 290.15 g. KufriJyoti (290.15 g) propagated through tubers recorded the highest tuber weight, while Kufri Chipsona-4 (70.04 g) had the lowest. The weight of tubers per plant varied significantly, with KufriJyoti propagated through tubers recording the highest (290.15 g). This result highlights the advantage of tuber propagation in enhancing tuber development and overall biomass. The lowest tuber weight was found in Kufri Chipsona-4 (70.04 g), suggesting poor tuber growth in this genotype under the given conditions, possibly due to genetic limitations.The findings are consistent with those ofGiri*et al.* (2023) and Kumar and Amruta (2023).

Average Weight of Tubers:

The average tuber weight ranged from 32.86 g to 42.67 g. KufriJyoti (42.67 g) propagated through tubers recorded the maximum, while KufriHimalini (32.86 g) propagated through apical rooted cuttings had the lowest.KufriJyoti propagated through tubers also had the highest average tuber weight (42.67 g), further supporting the effectiveness of this propagation method in promoting larger tubers. In contrast, KufriHimalini propagated through ARCs had the smallest average tuber weight (32.86 g), indicating that ARC propagation may not be as effective in achieving optimal tuber size in specific genotypes,which is consistent with Namugga*et al.* (2024) and Khan *et al.* (2020) observations.

Total Yield Per Plot:

Total yield ranged from 1.75 kg/plot to 7.70 kg/plot. The highest yield was recorded in KufriJyoti (7.70 kg/plot) propagated through tubers, while Kufri Karan (1.75 kg/plot) had the lowest.KufriJyoti (tuber) achieved the highest total yield per plot (7.70 kg), significantly outperforming other genotypes. This can be attributed to its superior growth and tuber production capabilities. KufriKaran propagated through ARCs, had the lowest yield (1.75 kg), which highlights the challenges associated with ARC propagation in certain genotypes, particularly in terms of yield potential. Similar variations were observed by Handayani*et al.* (2023).

Total Yield Per Hectare:

Total yield per hectare varied from 3.74 t/ha to 16.45 t/ha. KufriJyoti (16.45 t/ha) propagated through tubers produced the highest yield, while Kufri Karan (3.74 t/ha) yielded the least. The total yield per hectare showed a similar trend, with KufriJyoti propagated through tubers achieving the highest yield (16.45 t/ha). This confirms the advantage of tuber propagation for large-scale production. The lowest yield was recorded for Kufri Karan (3.74 t/ha), reflecting its poor performance under ARC propagation. These results suggest that while ARCs may reduce seed costs, they may not consistently match the yield potential of tubers in all genotypes. Similar variations were observed by Sushil *et al.* (2018).

Marketable Yield Per Plot:

Marketable yield per plot ranged between 0.63 kg/plot and 16.63 kg/plot. The highest marketable yield was from KufriJyoti (16.63 kg/plot) propagated through tubers, while Kufri Karan (0.63 kg/plot) had the lowest. Marketable yield is a key indicator of economic viability. KufriJyoti propagated through tubers had the highest marketable yield per plot (6.63 kg), emphasizing its efficiency in producing high-quality tubers suitable for the market. On the other hand, Kufri Karan (0.63 kg) had the lowest marketable yield, indicating that this genotype may not be well-suited for ARC propagation regardingmarketable output.Potato genotypes showed similar variability in the marketable yield noticed by Aarakit*et al.* (2021).

Marketable Yield Per Hectare:

Marketable yield per hectare ranged from 1.35 t/ha to 14.17 t/ha. KufriJyoti (14.17 t/ha) propagated through tubers recorded the highest, while Kufri Karan (1.35 t/ha) had the lowest. The marketable yield per hectare was highest in KufriJyoti, propagated through tubers (14.17 t/ha), reinforcing its potential for commercial cultivation. The lowest marketable yield was observed in Kufri Karan (1.35 t/ha), further highlighting the limitations of ARC propagation for this genotype. Overall, tuber propagation consistently outperformed ARC propagation regarding total and marketable yield, suggesting that while ARCs offer certain advantages, tuber propagation remains the most reliable method for achieving high productivity in potato cultivation.Potato genotypes showed similar variability in the marketable yield noticed by Benz *et al.* (1995).

Gross returns

KufriJyoti's genotype propagated through tubers had the highest gross returns of 411146.72 Rs./ha. Among apical rooted cuttings propagated genotypes, Kufri Lima and KufriSangam, Kufri Mohan had higher gross returns of (239039.41 Rs./ha), (216136.75 Rs./ha) and (187364.32 Rs./ha). Kufri Karan, propagated through apical rooted cuttings, had the lowest gross returns (93482.91 Rs./ha). A similar trend was observed by Yadav *et al.* (2024) for gross returns, where KufriJyoti, propagated via tubers, outperformed all other genotypes, recording the highest gross returns at Rs. 411,146.72/ha. This reflects the direct correlation between high yield and economic returns. Among the genotypes propagated through apical rooted cuttings, Kufri Lima, KufriSangam and Kufri Mohan demonstrated relatively higher gross returns of Rs. 239,039.41/ha, Rs. 216,136.75/ha and Rs. 187,364.32/ha, respectively. Kufri Lima, once again, emerged as the top-performing genotype among those propagated through apical-rooted cuttings. In contrast, Kufri Karan exhibited the lowest gross returns at Rs. 93,482.91/ha, indicating that the low yield led to unsatisfactory economic outcomes for this genotype.

Net returns

KufriJyoti's genotype propagated through thetuber had the highest net returns (256146.72 Rs./ha). Among the apical rooted cuttings genotypes, Kufri Lima, KufriSangam and Kufri Mohan had the higher net returns (114039.41 Rs./ha), (91136.75 Rs./ha) and (62364.32 Rs./ha). The genotype with lowest net returns (-31517.09 Rs./ha) was Kufri Karan propagated through apical rooted cuttings. The net returns further emphasized the superiority of KufriJyoti propagated via tubers, with the highest net returns of Rs. 256,146.72/ha. The genotypes propagated through apical rooted cuttings followed a similar ranking, with Kufri Lima showing the highest net returns of Rs. 114,039.41/ha, followed by KufriSangam at Rs. 91,136.75/ha and Kufri Mohan at Rs. 62,364.32/ha. The most notable outcome, however, was the negative net returns of Kufri Karan (-Rs. 31,517.09/ha), propagated through apical rooted cuttings, which not only had the lowest yield but also failed to cover the production costs. This result underscores the economic risks associated with Kufri Karan when propagated through apical-rooted cuttings. Similar variations with respect to net returns were observed by Sinha and Singh (2019) and Lal and Sharma (2006).

Benefit: Cost ratio (B:C ratio)

The genotype KufriJyoti propagated through tuber had the highest benefit: cost ratio (2.65). Among the apical rooted cuttings propagated genotypes, Kufri Lima, KufriSangam and Kufri Mohan had the higher benefit: cost ratio (1.91), (1.73) and (1.50). The genotype with lowest benefit: cost ratio (0.75) was Kufri Karan propagated through apical rooted cuttings. The benefit-cost ratio further solidified KufriJyoti's advantage, with the highest ratio of 2.65, indicating substantial profitability relative to its costs. The genotypes propagated through apical rooted cuttings—Kufri Lima, KufriSangam and Kufri Mohan—had B:C ratios of 1.91, 1.73 and 1.50, respectively, which are within acceptable profitability margins, with Kufri Lima emerging as the most economically viable among them. Conversely, Kufri Karan, with a B:C ratio of 0.75, demonstrated poor economic viability, as it failed to return a profit and resulted in losses. Similar variations with respect to benefit: cost ratio wereobserved by Subedi*et al.* (2019) and Chauhan *et al.* (2022).

Table 1: Mean performance of different potato genotypes for growth parameters

Sl. No.	Genotypes	Plant height (cm)	Number of shoots per plant	Number of compound leaves per plant	Diameter of main stem
		75 DAT			
1	Kufri Mohan	41.48	2.97	28.95	0.96
2	Kufri Chipsona-4	40.80	2.78	37.06	0.82
3	KufriSangam	45.56	2.19	36.28	0.98
4	Kufri Karan	40.35	2.31	32.95	0.75
5	KufriJyoti	35.24	1.89	25.11	0.75
6	Kufri Lima	45.97	3.97	38.54	1.19
7	KufriHimalini	41.07	2.54	33.42	1.10
8	KufriJyoti (Tuber) (Check)	46.82	4.99	41.84	1.24
	Mean	42.16	2.96	34.27	0.97
	S.Em ±	1.89	0.14	1.52	0.05
	C.D. @ 5%	5.73	0.44	4.62	0.14

Table 2: Mean performance of different potato genotypes for yield parameters

Sl. No.	Genotypes	Number of tubers per plant	Weight of tubers per plant (g plant^{-1})	Average weight of tubers (g tuber^{-1})	Total tuber yield per plot (kg/plot)	Total tuber yield per hectare (tons/ha)	Marketable tuber yield per plot (kg/plot)	Marketable tuber yield per hectare (tons/ha)
1	Kufri Mohan	2.53	94.34	37.29	3.51	7.49	2.63	5.62
2	Kufri Chipsona-4	1.98	70.04	35.37	2.97	6.35	1.64	3.50
3	KufriSangam	3.27	120.22	36.76	4.05	8.65	3.26	6.97
4	Kufri Karan	1.93	70.09	36.32	1.75	3.74	0.63	1.35
5	KufriJyoti	2.10	74.05	35.26	2.51	5.35	1.25	2.67
6	Kufri Lima	3.78	141.23	37.36	4.47	9.56	3.32	7.09
7	KufriHimalini	2.89	94.96	32.86	3.46	7.39	2.25	4.81
8	KufriJyoti (Tuber) (Check)	6.80	290.15	42.67	7.70	16.45	6.63	14.17
	Mean	3.16	119.39	30.04	3.80	8.12	2.70	5.77
	S.Em ±	0.15	6.00	1.38	0.27	0.40	0.15	0.31
	C.D. @ 5%	0.47	18.19	4.19	0.83	1.22	0.44	0.94

Table 3: Economics of potato production

Sl. No.	Genotypes	Yield per hectare (t/ha)	Gross returns (Rs./ha)	Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha)	Net returns (Rs./ha)	Benefit: Cost ratio (B:C ratio)
1	Kufri Mohan	7.49	187364.32	125000	62364.32	1.50
2	Kufri Chipsona-4	6.35	158668.80	125000	33668.80	1.27
3	KufriSangam	8.65	216136.75	125000	91136.75	1.73
4	Kufri Karan	3.74	93482.91	125000	-31517.09	0.75
5	KufriJyoti	5.35	133835.47	125000	8835.47	1.07
6	Kufri Lima	9.56	239039.41	125000	114039.41	1.91
7	KufriHimalini	7.39	184867.52	125000	59867.52	1.48
8	KufriJyoti (Tuber) (Check)	16.45	411146.72	155000	256146.72	2.65

CONCLUSION

The study reveals significant differences in growth and yield parameters among various potato genotypes when propagated through apical-rooted cuttings (ARCs). Tuber propagation consistently outperformed ARC propagation across metrics such as plant height, number of shoots, leaves, stem diameter and tuber yield, with KufriJyoti showing superior growth potential and economic viability for large-scale cultivation. While ARC propagation reduces seed costs, it exhibited limitations in yield and plant vigor, particularly for genotypes like Kufri Karan. Kufri Lima, however, performed well under ARC propagation, indicating its potential for alternative methods. Overall, tuber propagation proved to be a more reliable approach for maximizing yield and economic returns, particularly with KufriJyoti, while Kufri Karan demonstrated poor performance across all parameters under ARC propagation. These findings offer valuable insights for farmers and agricultural stakeholders in selecting appropriate genotypes and propagation methods to optimize productivity and profitability in potato cultivation.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies, such as Large Language Models, etc., have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology, as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

1.

2.

3.

REFERENCES

1. Aarakit P, 2021, Effect of phosphorus application on growth, yield and quality of seed potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) Varieties Propagated from Rooted Apical Cuttings in Kenya. *M.Sc. Thesis*, (Hort.), Egerton University, Kenya.

2. Aarakit P, Ouma J P and Lelei J J, 2021, Growth, yield and phosphorus use efficiency of potato varieties propagated from apical rooted cuttings under variable phosphorus rates. *African Journal of Plant Science,* 15(7): 173-184

3. Abdalla N, El-Ramady H, Seliem M K, El-Mahrouk, M E, Taha N, Bayoumi Y, Shalaby T A and Dobránszki J, 2022, An academic and technical overview on plant micropropagation challenges. *Horticulturae*, 8(8): 677.

4. Benz J S, Keller E R and Midmore D J, 1995, Planting materials for warm tropic potato production: growth and yield of transplanted seedlings or rooted cuttings and tuber materials in the field. *Field Crops Research*, 40(3): 179-192.

5. Chauhan, B., Joshi, D., Banjade, D., Bhatta, B.D., Awasthi, P., Paneru, M., Shrestha, M. and Chand, P.B., 2022. Economics of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) production and marketing in Darchula district of Nepal. *Archives of agriculture and environmental science*, *7*(3): 393-401.

6. Giri R K, Upadhyay K P, Bhusal Y, Dhakal R, Subedi G D, Chalise B and Poudel B, 2023, Performance evaluation of nutrient dense potato genotypes at high hills of Karnali province, Nepal. *Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research*, *21*(2): 40-50.

7. Handayani T, Sahat J P and Pertiwi M D, 2023, The utilization of apical rooted cuttings for the seed production of potato varieties for processing. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1(4): 1172-012012.

8. Khan A I., Hussain S., Zahoor M., Rasool G. and Shah I., 2020. Effect of planting dates on the performance of apical rooted cuttings in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.).*Journal of Agricultural Research*, 58(2): 100-107.

9. Kumar P and Singh R, 2021, Enhanced potato productivity through apical rooted cuttings: A review. *Potato Research Journal*, 3(1): 581-584.

10. Kumar S and Amruta S B, 2023, Standardization of spacing and varieties for production of tubers from apical rooted cuttings. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 12(5): 3621-3625.

11. Kumar S and Amruta S B, 2023, Validation of potato apical rooted cuttings for their performance under field conditions. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 12(6): 621-623.

12. Lal H and Sharma K D, 2006. Economics of potato production in Lahaul valley of Himachal Pradesh. *Potato Journal*, *33*(3and4); 139-143.

13. Namugga P, Aijuka S, Arinda O, Mateeka B and Barekye A, 2024, Early generation seed starter materials and approaches to seed production: Challenge for improving the potato seed system in Uganda. *Crop Science*, 64(3): 1311-1319.

14. Patel M and Rao S, 2019, Genotypic variation and its impact on potato tuber yield. *Horticulture Research*, 8(1): 89-97.

15. Rajegowda, Lavanya K S, Bindu N, Dhamodhar G N, Pankaja H K, Shivashankar M, Nagaraja T and Deshwara A, 2021 Evaluation and introduction of apical rooted potato saplings in Hassan district. *Environment and Ecology*, 39(4A): 1074-1077.

16. Ranalli P, Bassi F, Ruaro G, Del Re P, Di Candilo M and Mandolino G, 1994, Microtuber and minituber production and field performance compared with normal tubers. *Potato Research*, 37: 383-391.

17. Sharma S, Gupta R and Singh V, 2020, Effects of different propagation methods on potato yield. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 12(3): 45-53.

18. Sinha A K and Singh S K, 2019. Economics of potato production in Northern hills of Chhattisgarh. *Economic Affairs*, *64*(1): 1-7.

19. Subedi S, Ghimire Y N, Gautam S, Poudel H K and Shrestha J, 2019. Economics of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) production in terrain region of Nepal. *Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science*, *4*(1): 57-62.

20. Sushil S N., Sharma R C. and Bahadur R., 2018. Impact of Apical Cuttings on Potato Growth and Yield Performance under Different Climatic Conditions. *Journal of Agronomy*, 89(4): 49-56.

21. Yadav R S, Kushwaha R, Maurya K, Singh A K, Singh R K and Verma A K, 2024. A Study on Costs and Returns of Potato in Azamgarh District of Eastern Uttar Pradesh, India. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*, *46*(5): 825-831.